Matt Washburn



Folsom Cordova Unified School District

2023 School Facility Needs Analysis



Prepared by

Folsom Cordova Unified School District
Facilities and Planning Department

916.294.9010 • Fax 916.294.2471

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Executive Summary	1
I. Introduction	2
II. Background of Developer Fee Legislation	3
III. Level II Developer Fee Justification	5
Requirement I: School Facilities Program Application	5
Requirement II: Year Round Schools, General Obligation Bonds, Debt Incurred and	
Relocatable Classrooms	5
Requirement III: Needs Analysis	5
IV. Level II Developer Fee Calculation	9
Student Generation Rate Study	9
New Residential Units	9
Students Generated from Projected New Development	10
State School Facility Program Grant Calculations	11
Site Acreage Required	11
Site Acquisition Costs	12
Site Development Costs	12
Fee Calculations	13
V. Conclusion and Recommendation.	15
Sources	16
Annondiy A. Docidential Five Veen Build Out Prejection	17
Appendix A: Residential Five-Year Build-Out Projection	17
Appendix B: SAB 50-02, Baseline Facility Capacity	21
Appendix C: SAB 50-01, Enrollment Certification/Projection	24
Appendix D: Level II Fee Calculations	26

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- Education Code Section 17620 authorizes school districts to levy a fee, charge, dedication or
 other form of requirement against any development project for the construction or
 reconstruction of school facilities provided that the district can show justification for levying
 of fees.
- Government Code 65995 limits the fee to be collected to the statutory fee unless a school district conducts a School Facility Needs Analysis (Government Code 65995.6) and meets certain conditions.
- The adjusted baseline capacity in the Folsom Cordova Unified School District based on Education Code 17071, is 22,762 K-12 students.
- Each new single-family residential unit to be constructed in the Folsom Cordova Unified School District will average 2,400 square feet and will generate an average of 0.62 K-12 grade students to house. Each new single-family attached home will average 1,500 square feet and will generate 0.36 K-12 grade students to house. Each new multi-family home will average 1,052 square feet and will generate 0.30 K-12 grade students to house.
- Over the next five years, it is estimated that 3,926 single-family residential units; 779 single-family attached residential units; and 2,955 multi-family units will be constructed. This totals 7,660 new residential units.
- The proposed developer fee rates are calculated on a School Facilities Improvement District (SFID) basis. SFID 1 represents the City of Rancho Cordova, Mather and the unincorporated area of Sacramento County south of Highway 50 and generally west of Prairie City Road. SFID 2 represents the City of Folsom and the area south of Highway 50 and generally east of Prairie City Road.
- The use of the two SFIDs for fee calculation allows for a more accurate representation of the unique issues associated with the geographic features (such as naturally occurring asbestos) and the realty markets within the district boundaries.
- Based on calculations outlined in Government Code 65995.5, the Folsom Cordova Unified School District is justified in collecting Level II developer fees in the amount of \$7.38 per square foot of new residential single-family construction in SFID 1 and \$8.89 per square foot of new residential construction in the SFID 2.
- Based on calculations outlined in Government Code 65995.7, the Folsom Cordova Unified School District is justified in collecting Level III developer fees in the amount of \$14.76 per square foot of new residential single-family construction in SFID 1 and \$17.78 per square foot of new residential single-family construction in SFID 2.

I. INTRODUCTION

California school districts have the ability to assess development impact fees on new residential and commercial/industrial development, as long as certain requirements are met. There are three levels of residential development impact fees and one level of commercial/industrial impact fees. The following is an overview of development impact fee levels:

- Level I fees are the current statutory fees allowed under Education Code 17620. This code section provides the basic authority for school districts to levy a fee against residential and commercial construction for the purpose of funding school construction or reconstruction of facilities. The Board of Education approved Level 1 fees for SFID 1 and SFID 2 at the April 28, 2022 Board meeting. The Level I fee is adjusted every two years.
- Level II fees are outlined in Government Code section 65995.5. This code section allows a school district to impose a higher fee on residential construction if certain conditions are met. Level II fees were approved by the Board of Education at the April 28, 2022, and must be justified on a yearly basis.
- Level III fees are outlined in Government Code section 65995.7. If State funding becomes unavailable, this code section authorizes a school district that has been approved to collect Level II fees to collect a higher fee equal to twice the amount of Level II fees. However, if a district eventually receives State funding, this excess fee may be reimbursed to the developers or subtracted from the amount of state funding.

This School Facility Needs Analysis (SFNA) updates enrollment and estimated build-out totals from last year's SFNA.

The January 2023 State Allocation Board (SAB) construction grant increases were also used in the justification of the Level II fees. Each year the SAB adjusts the School Facility Program grants based on the statewide cost index for Class B construction using the RS Means index. The SAB January 2022 increase was 17.45% and the January 2023 was 9.30%.

The SFNA will establish the continued need for new school facilities for unhoused students which are attributable to new residential development within the Folsom Cordova Unified School District. In doing so, the Study will show that the Level I statutory fees are inadequate to meet the needs of the District for school facilities; that the District is eligible to collect a fee in excess of the statutory fee; and that the District meets the requirements to collect Level II fees.

II. BACKGROUND OF DEVELOPER FEE LEGISLATION

SB 50 (Chapter 407/Statutes 1998) was an urgency measure and became effective on August 27, 1998. This bill instituted a new school facility program by which school districts can apply for state construction and modernization funds. It imposed limitations on the power of cities and counties to require mitigation of school facilities impacts as a condition of approving new development and provided the authority for school districts to levy fees at three different levels.

III. LEVEL II DEVELOPER FEE JUSTIFICATION

This section of the study will demonstrate that the Folsom Cordova Unified School District meets the necessary requirements outlined below and is eligible to collect development impact fees in excess of the statutory fee for the construction and reconstruction of school facilities.

Requirement I: School Facilities Program Application

To be eligible to collect Level II developer fees the Folsom Cordova Unified School District must have made a "timely application to the State School Facilities Program for new construction funding for which it is eligible...." The Folsom Cordova Unified School District has filed applications for new construction and modernization funding with the Office of Public School Construction. The District has over \$25 million in applications on file with the State pending a future state bond, until a bond is passed by the voters of California these projects will remain on the "Applications Received Beyond Bond Authority List" awaiting application review and approval.

Requirement II: Year Round Schools, General Obligation Bonds, Debt Incurred and Relocatable Classrooms

After January 1, 2023, a district must satisfy two of the four requirements listed below:

- Have a substantial percentage of students on multi-track year-round scheduling.
- Have assumed debt equal to 30% of the district's bonding capacity (percentage is based on revenue sources for repayment).
- Have at least 20% of the district's teaching stations housed in relocatable classrooms.
- Have placed a local bond on the ballot in the past four years which received at least 50% plus one of the votes cast.

At least 20% of classrooms in the District are relocatable; there are currently 840 classrooms in the District, of which 195 are relocatable classrooms, or 23%. The District has assumed debt greater than 30% of the district's bonding capacity. These items fulfill the requirements as stated by Government Code Section 65995.6(b).

Requirement III: Needs Analysis

Government Code Section 65995.6 requires that before fees in excess of the statutory fee can be levied a district must conduct a facility needs analysis. This analysis must demonstrate that the need

for new school facilities for unhoused pupils is attributable to projected enrollment growth from the construction of new residential units over the next five years.

"The needs analysis shall project the number of unhoused elementary, middle, and high school pupils generated by new residential units, in each category of pupils enrolled in the district. The projection of unhoused pupils shall be based on the historical student generation rates of new residential units constructed during the previous five years that are of a similar type of units to those anticipated to be constructed...."

Clarifications of issues that factor into the calculation of the fee are listed below:

- Site acquisition costs are limited to 50% of the cost of the site.
- Local funds are all funds dedicated by the local Board of Education to provide facilities necessitated by new construction, including commercial/industrial fees. Currently the District has no actual "dedicated" local funds for new facilities. The developer fee revenue stream in the District is being used to provide gap funding for current projects. Commercial/industrial developer fees are earmarked for interim housing costs.
- Projected square footage is determined based upon information provided by city/county and developers where the residential units are expected to be constructed.
- The regular grant amount is a per unhoused pupil grant that excludes the cost of interim housing, central district administration, and other site-specific facilities. Therefore, state funding will be less than the amount required to provide adequate facilities. The term "50% fee" is a misnomer since the formula used to calculate the Level II fees does not accurately reflect actual facilities costs. The fee does not reflect interim housing costs, nor does it address the actual costs of construction during times when labor and material costs are driven higher.
- Level II fees may be used to fund facilities to accommodate students generated by new construction.

The Facility Needs Analysis also requires the District to evaluate the following:

• Identify and consider any surplus property owned by the District that can be used as a school site or that is available for sale to finance school facilities.

The District has no surplus property.

• Identify and consider the extent to which projected enrollment growth may be accommodated by the excess capacity in the existing facilities.

The SB 50 baseline capacity of the District was established and approved by the State Allocation Board in 1998. Adjustments have been made to the baseline capacity for the special day class regulation change and the addition of new classrooms built and funded with state school building funds since 1998. The District was allowed to discount relocatable/portable classrooms exceeding a set calculation in SB 50. The baseline capacity includes one closed site, Cordova Lane Elementary. The approved SAB 50-02 Capacity Analyses for both high school attendance areas are attached as Appendix B and summarized in the following table.

Table 1
Existing Capacity

		ministring outputting		
Grade Level	1998 Baseline Capacity	Adjustment for SDC Regulation Change	Pupils Apportioned by SAB to Date*	Adjusted Capacity
SFID 1: Pupils app	ortioned are for the follow	ving project: Navigator Eleme	entary School	<u> </u>
K-5	4,575	(200)	600	4,975
6-8	2,214	(162)	0	2,052
9-12	2,862	(216)	0	2,646
SDC	0	286	13	299
RC Total	9,651	(292)	613	9,972
CEID A D II	. 1	11	1 11 . (1 1	0 1 0 11 1

SFID 2: Pupils apportioned are for the following projects: Empire Oaks Elementary School, Sandra Gallardo Elementary School, Folsom High School Phase 2, Folsom High School Building N, Folsom High School Theater, Russell Ranch Elementary School, Vista del Lago High School, Carl Sundahl relocatable replacements and Oak Chan Elementary School relocatable replacements and Sutter Middle School relocatable replacements

District Total	15,985	(366)	7,143	22,762		
Folsom Total	6,334	(74)	6,530	12,790		
SDC	0	78	175	253		
9-12	1,998	27	2,914	4,939		
6-8	1,161	(54)	405	1,512		
K-5	3,175	(125)	3,036	6,086		
Chan Elementary School relocatable replacements, and Suiter Mitable School relocatable replacements.						

^{*} State Classroom Loading Summary is based on SAB 50-02 Classroom Analysis.

Senate Bill 50 requires enrollment projections be calculated on the Enrollment Certification/Projection Form SAB 50-01. Projections shall be calculated pursuant to the cohort survival enrollment projection system described in Section 1859.40-1859.53 of Article 5 of the regulations. Based on the SAB 50-01 five-year enrollment projection, the enrollment of the Folsom Cordova Unified School District will reach 27,672 K-12 students by the start of the 2028/29 school year. The enrollment projection, Form SAB 50-01, is included in Appendix C.

The current School Facility Program capacity is 22,762 K-12 students and the SAB 50-01(attached) projects 27,672 students by the 2028/29 school year, leaving 4,910 pupils unhoused.

Cordova Lane Elementary School closed at the end of the 2009/10 school year and is being used by the District for district support services and preschool services. The District has considered the use of the Cordova Lane Center, but the site is unsuitable because it is not located in close proximity to any proposed residential development.

Identify and consider local sources other than fees, charges, dedications, or other
requirements imposed on residential construction available to finance the construction or
reconstruction of school facilities needed to accommodate any growth in enrollment
attributable to the construction of new residential units.

Measure G was passed by the voters of SFID 5 in November 2014 for \$195 million for repairs of existing school sites located in SFID 5 (City of Folsom north of Highway 50).

Measure N was passed by the voters of SFID 4 in November 2006 for \$125 million for existing Rancho Cordova school repairs. The District passed a \$68 million bond (Measure P) in November 2012 for SFID 4 to access funds that were not available under Measure N due to the plummet in assessed property values.

Voters in SFID 3 passed a \$750 million bond (Measure M) in March 2007 for future growth in the area south of Highway 50 and east of Sunrise Boulevard. Bonds from this Measure M will be sold as development occurs and the need arises.

The monies from these bonds are being used to provide an alternate source of funds to mitigate the late arrival of state funds, offset state funding shortfalls, and finance facilities needs that are not funded by state funds or developer fees, and to provide funding for modernization, additions, technology, and student support services. Therefore, local bond funds are not considered by the District to be available to supplement the developer fee.

IV. LEVEL II DEVELOPER FEE CALCULATION

Senate Bill 50 outlines the methodology for the calculation of Level II fees in Section 65995.5(c) as follows:

- The number of unhoused pupils identified in the school facilities needs analysis shall be multiplied by the appropriate grant amounts provided in subdivision (a) of Education Code Section 17072. This sum shall be added to the site acquisition and development cost determined pursuant to subdivision (h).
- The full amount of local funds the governing board has dedicated to facilities necessitated by new construction shall be subtracted from the amount determined pursuant to paragraph (1).
- The resulting amount "...shall be divided by the projected total square footage of assessable space of residential units anticipated to be constructed during the next five-year period in the school district..."
- "Site acquisition costs shall not exceed half the amount determined by multiplying the land acreage determined to be necessary under the guidelines of the State Department of Education..."

To determine the District's Level II fees staff prepared the following calculations. These calculations are outlined below and are attached as Appendix E.

Student Generation Rate Study

As part of the preparation for this SFNA, a student generation rate study was conducted. The student generation study included a survey of single-family, single-family attached and multi-family residential units built in the last five years. The generation rate calculations for residences built in the last five years are shown in Appendix D and summarized below:

Table 2
Student Yield Generation Rates

	Single-family	Single-family	Multi-family
Grade Level	(SF)	Attached (SFA)	(\mathbf{MF})
K-5	0.32	0.23	0.16
6-8	0.14	0.05	0.06
9-12	0.15	0.07	0.07
SDC	0.01	0.01	0.01
Total	0.62	0.36	0.30

New Residential Units

The County of Sacramento Community Development Department, City of Rancho Cordova Planning Department and City of Folsom Community Development Department were contacted to provide information regarding current and future development projects. Table 3 is a summary of the development projects listed in Appendix A.

Table 3
Projected Residential Development

Development Type	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	Total
Single-family	622	876	915	772	741	3,926
Single-family Attached	0	132	208	194	245	779
Multi-family	125	987	855	344	644	2,955
Total Units	747	1,995	1,978	1,310	1,630	7,660

Students Generated from Projected New Development

The number of students generated from new development in the next five years is determined by multiplying the five-year projected number of residential units by the yield generation factor at the appropriate grade levels. The future unhoused pupil calculation is provided for each type of housing in Tables 4, 5 and 6. Table 7 lists the total number of proposed students generated from future residential development in the next five years.

Table 4
Future Unhoused Students from Single-family Units

	Yield Factor		Students
Grade Level	Single-family	Proposed Units	Generated
K-5	0.32		1,250
6-8	0.14	2.026	550
9-12	0.15	3,926	589
SDC	0.01		39
Total	0.62		2,434

Table 5
Future Unhoused Students from Single-family Attached Units

	Yield Factor		Students
Grade Level	Single-family Attached	Proposed Units	Generated
K-5	0.23		179
6-8	0.05	770	39
9-12	0.07	779	55
SDC	0.01		8
Total	0.36		281

Table 6
Future Unhoused Students from Multi-Family Units

	Yield Factor	•	Students
Grade Level	Multi-family	Proposed Units	Generated
K-5	0.16		473
6-8	0.06	2,955	177
9-12	0.07	2,933	207
SDC	0.01		30
Total	0.30		887

Table 7
Total Number of Students Generated from New Development

Total Number of Students Generated from New Development				
	Students From	Students From	Students From	Total Students
Grade Level	SF Units	SFA Units	MF Units	Generated
K-5	1,256	179	473	1,908
6-8	550	39	177	766
9-12	589	55	207	851
SDC	39	8	30	77
Total	2,434	281	887	3,602

State School Facility Program Grant Calculations

Under the State School Facilities Program, the state will provide "grants" to a school district for 50% of the cost to house a student who is unhoused due to enrollment increases. The grant amounts are the State's estimated 50% share of the cost of housing one student. The District is responsible for matching these state funds with district or local money. For example, a state K-6 grant of \$11,775 would pay for 50% of the cost to house one student; the district is required match the grant for a total of \$23,550. For the Level II fee calculations, the grant amounts are multiplied by the total number of pupils generated from new development over the next five years. Table 8 illustrates this calculation.

Table 8
State School Facility Program Grant Calculations

	State School Lacinty 110gram Grant Calculations			
	State Per Pupil	Pupils Generated from SF,	Total	
Grade Level	Grant Amount	SFA & MF Units	State Grant	
K-5	\$ 16,270	1,908	\$31,043,160	
6-8	17,248	766	13,211,968	
9-12	21,883	851	18,622,433	
SDC	38,248	77	2,945,096	
Total		3,602	\$65,822,657	

Site Acreage Required

The next step in the fee calculation is to estimate the total number of acres required to house the projected pupils. The District has established guidelines for school facility sizes for the purposes of housing new students. The school master planned capacity differs at the middle and high school level in each SFID. These differences are based on the differing existing facilities and future needs in each SFID. New school facilities will be constructed to accommodate enrollments by grade level groups as follows:

Table 9
School Facility Sizes and CDE Recommended Site Sizes
SFID 1 (Rancho Cordova)

Grade Level	School Facility Master Planned Pupil Capacity	CDE Recommended Site Size (acres)
K-5	673	10.0
6-8	900	22.2
9-12	2,100	54.8

School Facility Sizes and CDE Recommended Site Sizes SFID 2 (Folsom)

	School Facility Master	CDE Recommended
Grade Level	Planned Pupil Capacity	Site Size (acres)
K-5	673	10.0
6-8	1,200	23.5
9-12	1,800	51.7

Estimated site acreage needed to house projected pupils is determined by dividing the number of unhoused pupils at each grade level group by the master planned capacity for each type of school to arrive at the number of schools needed per grade level configuration. The number of schools needed is then multiplied by the California Department of Education recommended acreage for the master planned school sizes to arrive at the number of acres needed to adequately house the projected pupils.

Table 10
Acreage Required to House Pupils – SFID 1 (Rancho Cordova)

		Students	Schools	CDE	
Grade Level	Unhoused Students	Per School Site	Based on Pupils	Recommended Acreage	Acres Needed
		÷	=	X	=
K5 + SDC	1,908	673	2.949	10.00	29.49
6-8	766	900	.851	22.20	18.89
9-12	851	2,100	.405	54.80	22.19

Acreage Required to House Pupils – SFID 2 (Folsom)

Grade	Unhoused	Students Per	Schools Based on	CDE Recommended	Acres
Level	Students	School Site	Pupils	Acreage	Needed
		÷	=	X	=
K5 + SDC	1,908	673	2.949	10.00	29.49
6-8	766	1,200	.638	23.50	14.99
9-12	851	1,800	.473	51.70	24.45

Site Acquisition Costs

The next step in the fee calculation is to estimate the site acquisition cost. The separation of SFID 1 and SFID 2 data for the developer fee calculation allows for a more accurate representation of the unique issues associated with the realty markets within the district boundaries.

Total acreage needed is multiplied by the cost per acre at 50% as the State funds 50% of the cost of the site. The *total* acreage needed is used in each of the SFID calculations below to arrive at an overall cost for each SFID. In a later step, the grand total will be divided by the overall estimated new residential square footage to arrive at a fee per square foot rate for each SFID.

Table 11
Site Acquisition Costs - SFID 1 (Rancho Cordova)

Grade Level	Acres Needed	50% Acreage Cost	Site Acquisition Cost
K5 + SDC	29.49	\$300,000	\$ 8,847,000
6-8	18.89	300,000	5,667,000
9-12	22.19	300,000	6,657,000
Total			\$ 21,171,000

Table 12 Site Acquisition Costs - SFID 2 (Folsom)

Grade Level	Acres Needed	50% Acreage Cost	Site Acquisition Cost
K5 + SDC	29.49	\$412,500	\$ 12,164,625
6-8	14.99	412,500	6,183,375
9-12	24.45	412,500	10,085,625
Total			\$ 28,433,625

Site Development Costs

SB 50 allows the inclusion of site development costs in the fee calculation. Site development costs shall not exceed the estimated amount that would be funded by the State Allocation Board pursuant to its regulations governing grants for site development costs. These improvements can include applicable drainage, utility and road improvements.

Some areas within SFID 2 historically have had higher site development costs due to naturally occurring asbestos in the foothills area. At this point the existing Rancho Cordova residential areas have not experienced similar site development issues. The site development costs have been separated to represent the environmental and geological differences within the two SFID areas.

The *total* acreage needed is used in each of the SFID calculations below to arrive at an overall cost for each SFID. In a later step, the grand total will be divided by the overall estimated new residential square footage to arrive at a fee per square foot rate for each SFID. The costs for site development in the SFID 1 are shown in Table 13 and the costs for site development in the SFID 2 are shown in Table 14.

Table 13
Site Development Costs - SFID 1 (Rancho Cordova)

Grade Level	Acres Needed	Site Development Cost @ 50% per site	Total Site Development Costs @ 50%
K-5 + SDC	29.49	\$ 200,000	\$ 5,898,000
6-8	18.89	200,000	3,778,000
9-12	22.19	200,000	4,438,000
Total			\$14,114,000

Table 14
Site Development Costs - SFID 2 (Folsom)

		Site Development	Total Site Development
Grade Level	Acres Needed	Cost @ 50% per site	Costs @ 50%
K-5 + SDC	29.49	\$ 400,000	\$ 11,796,000
6-8	14.99	400,000	5,996,000
9-12	24.45	400,000	9,780,000
Total			\$ 27,572,000

Fee Calculations

The square footage of residential units to be constructed and attributable to the unhoused students in Tables 4, 5 and 6 is estimated to be 13,699,560 square feet. The calculation is based on the average single-family home in the Folsom Cordova Unified School District being 2,400 square feet, the average single-family attached home being 1,500 square feet and the average multi-family unit being 1,052 square feet. Table 15 illustrates the square footage calculation.

Table 15
Proposed Residential Square Footage in the Next Five Years

True of Complemention	Number of	Average	Total
Type of Construction	Proposed Units	Square Feet	Square Footage
Single-family	3,926	2,400	9,442,400
Single-family Attached	779	1,500	1,168,500
Multi-family	2,955	1,052	3,108,660
Total	7,660		13,699,560

The total cost to house these students is the sum of the state grant amount, the site acquisition costs, and the site development costs less any local revenues available from the District. To arrive at a per square foot fee, the total cost is then divided by the total residential square footage to be constructed. This calculation is done for each SFID to arrive at a cost per square foot attributable to the corresponding SFIDs unique site acquisition and development costs. The developer fee calculations for SFID 1 are shown in Table 16 and for SFID 2 in Table 17.

Table 16 Level II Fee Calculations - SFID 1

		Estimated	
Type of Cost	Total Cost	Square Footage	Level II Fee
State Grant	65,822,657		
Site Acquisition	21,171,000		
Site Development	14,114,000		
Total	101,107,657	13,699,560	\$ 7.38

Education Code Section 65995.7 (currently suspended) will allow the District to charge the Level III rate of \$14.76 per square foot of new residential construction if the State Allocation Board ran out of new construction funds to apportion to school districts.

Table 17 Level II Fee Calculations - SFID 2

		Estimated	
Type of Cost	Total Cost	Square Footage	Level II Fee
State Grant	65,822,657		
Site Acquisition	28,433,625		
Site Development	27,572,000		
Total	121,828,282	13,699,560	\$8.89

Education Code Section 65995.7 (currently suspended) will allow the District to charge the Level III rate of \$17.78 per square foot of new residential construction if the State Allocation Board ran out of new construction funds to apportion to school districts.

V. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

Based on this School Facility Needs Analysis, the Folsom Cordova Unified School District meets all applicable requirements to justify the adoption of the Level II developer fees in accordance with Government Code Section 65995.5.

Based on this School Facility Needs Analysis provided, it is recommended that the Folsom Cordova Unified School District, subject to meeting legal requirements:

- approve this School Facility Needs Analysis, and
- adopt the Level II fee of \$7.38 per square foot of new residential single-family construction in SFID 1,
- adopt the Level II fee of \$8.89 per square foot of new residential construction in SFID 2,
- adopt the Level III fee of \$14.76 per square foot of new residential construction in SFID 1, and
- adopt the Level III fee of \$17.78 per square foot of new residential construction in SFID 2.

SOURCES

California Basic Education Data System. California State Department of Education October Enrollments, 2006-2020.

California State Department of Education. California Public School Directory, 2020.

California State Department of Finance. Population Research Division.

City of Folsom. Community Development Department.

City of Rancho Cordova. Community Development Department.

County of Sacramento. Community Development Department.

Folsom Cordova Unified School District. School Facilities Needs Analysis, April 2021.

Folsom Cordova Unified School District. Facilities Master Plan, November 2013.

Grau, Jeff. Architect. Rainforth Grau Architects.

Jamison, Teri. Architect. Rainforth Grau Architects.

Office of Public School Construction. Leroy Greene School Facilities Act, 1998.

Ramm, Chris, Architect, HED Architects.

Washburn, Matt. Chief Operations Officer. Folsom Cordova Unified School District.

Appendix A

Residential Five-Year Build-Out Projection

Subdivision	Type of Dev.	Total Units	Built	Unbuilt Units	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027
American River Canyon 4A	SF	19	14	5	1	2	1	1	
American River Canyon 6B	SF	103	96	7	1	1	1	1	1
American River Canyon 8A	SF	20	20	-					
American River Canyon 8B	SF	25	20	5	1	1	1	1	1
Bidwell Homes	SF	4	-	4		4			
Bidwell Homes	SF	1	-	1		1			
Bidwell Place Apartments (St. Anton)	MF	75	75	-					
Broadstone 3 - Village 1	SF	123	109	14	2	4	4	4	
Broadstone 3 - Village 2A	SF	38	31	7	3	2	2		
Broadstone 3 - Village 2B	SF	124	95	29	5	5	5	5	3
Broadstone 3 - Village 3B	SF	91	91	-					
Broadstone Villas	MF	257	-	257	0	128	129		(d) (d)
Creekside Apartments	MF	187	-	187		187			
Easton Place	MF	1,644	-	1,644			200	200	200
Empire Ranch 2 - Village 32	SF	140	113	27	5	5	3	3	
Empire Ranch 2 - Village 36A	SF	41	38	3	2	1			
Empire Ranch 2 - Village 36B	SF	56	53	3	2	1			
Empire Ranch 2 - Village 40C	SF	22	21	1	1				
Empire Ranch 2 - Village 46	SF	7	4	3	1	1	1		
Empire Ranch 2 - Village 48	SF	72	61	11	2	2	2	2	2
Empire Ranch 2 - Village 49B	SF	40	40	-					0 -
Empire Ranch 2 - Village 50	SF	49	49	-					
Folsom Corporate Center Apts. (Iron Point & Oak FCC 50,LLC)	MF	253	(*)	253		153	100		
Folsom Village	SF	4	-	4		4			
FPABucket	MF	959	-	959					125
FPABucket	SF	2,219	-	2,219					100
FPABucket	SFA	1,219	-	1,219			75	75	125
FPA - Broadstone Estates	SF	81	-	81		21	20	20	20
FPA - Carr Trust	SF	28	28	-					0
FPA - Folsom Heights	SF	405	-	405		50	50	50	50
FPA - Folsom Heights	SF	125	1-1	125		50	50	25	ar -
FPA - Mangini Ranch - Folsom Ranch Rental Community (near Placerville	MF	238	-	238		119	119		
FPA - Mangini Ranch Ph 1 - 1C North V1	SF	100	-	100	20	20	20	20	20
FPA - Mangini Ranch Ph 1 - 1C North V2	SF	41	10	31	10	10	11		3
FPA - Mangini Ranch Ph 1 - 1C North V3	SF	35		35	20	15			2
FPA - Mangini Ranch Ph1 - 1C North V4	SF	115	-	115	41	37	37		
FPA - Mangini Ranch Ph 1 - Bungalows (Southpointe)	SF	160	•	160		40	40	40	20
FPA - Mangini Ranch Ph 1 - Mangini Ranch Apts (Southpointe)	MF	278	278	ē	0				
FPA - Mangini Ranch Ph1	MF	109	-	109	25	25	25	34	
FPA - Mangini Ranch Ph1 - St Anton	MF	152	-	152	50	50	52		
FPA - Mangini Ranch Ph1 V1 - Azure	SF	108	108	0					
FPA - Mangini Ranch Ph1 V10 -	SF	71	71	-					
FPA - Mangini Ranch Ph1 V2 - Dakota	SF	98	98						

FPA - Mangini Ranch Ph1 V3 -	SF	49	49	-					
FPA - Mangini Ranch Ph1 V4 -	SF	86	86						
FPA - Mangini Ranch Ph1 V5 -	SF	87	87	-					
FPA - Mangini Ranch Ph1 V6 -	SF	116	116	-					
FPA - Mangini Ranch Ph1 V7 -	SF	108	108	-		10			
FPA - Mangini Ranch Ph1 V8 - Oakleaf	SF	78	78						
FPA - Mangini Ranch Ph1 V9 -	SF	103	103	-				Ď.	
FPA - Mangini Ranch Ph2 - Alder Creek A	MF	238		238	50	50	50	50	38
FPA - Mangini Ranch Ph2 V1	SF	88	32	56	20	20	16	0 5	
FPA - Mangini Ranch Ph2 V10 -	SF	118	114	4	4				
FPA - Mangini Ranch Ph2 V2	SF	74	-	74	20	20	20	14	
FPA - Mangini Ranch Ph2 V3	SF	53	2	51	20	20	11		
FPA - Mangini Ranch Ph2 V4	SF	73	73	-			100		
FPA - Mangini Ranch Ph2 V5	SF	83		83	20	20	20	23	
FPA - Mangini Ranch Ph2 V6	SF	70	6-0	70	20	20	20	10	
FPA - Mangini Ranch Ph2 V7	SF	68	68					,,,	
FPA - Mangini Ranch Ph2 V8	SF	36	36						
FPA - Mangini Ranch Ph3 V1	SF	102	-	102	O.F.	25	27	25	
FPA - Mangini Ranch Ph3 V2	SF	80	-	80	25 20	20	20	20	
FPA - Mangini Ranch Ph3 V3	SF	36	-	36		16	20	20	
FPA - Mangini Ranch Ph3 V4	SF	42	34	42	20	20			
FPA - Mangini Ranch Ph3 V5	SF	111	-	111	22	20	20	20	20
FPA - Mangini Ranch Ph3 V6	SF	70	- 0	70	20	20	20	20	20
STATES VENTON AND STATES STATES STATES STATES	0.5	9,500		112	10	20	20	20	20
FPA - Mangini Ranch Phase 1 (Arcadian F FPA - Russell Ranch Ph1 V1 - Golden	SF	112 52	52	112		20	20	20	20
FPA - Russell Ranch Ph1 V2 - Gold Hill	SF	25	25						
	SF	11.00	26						
FPA - Russell Ranch Ph1 V3 - Silver	7/2007	26	6,000	-					
FPA - Russell Ranch Ph1 V4 - Steel	SF	114	114	- 1					
FPA - Russell Ranch Ph1 V5 - Silver	SF	41	41	-					
FPA - Russell Ranch Ph1 V6 - Iron Ridge	SF	43	43	-					
FPA - Russell Ranch Ph1 V7 - Silver	SF	41	41	-					
FPA - Russell Ranch Ph1 V8 - Iron Ridge	SF	52	52						- 10
FPA - Russell Ranch Ph2	SF	181	•	181					40
FPA - Russell Ranch Ph2 V1	SF	33	•	33	23	10			
FPA - Russell Ranch Ph2 V2	SF	79	3	76	25	25	26		
FPA - Russell Ranch Ph2 V4	SF	96	5	91	30	35	26		
FPA - Russell Ranch Ph3A	SF	132	118	14	14				
FPA - Russell Ranch Ph3B	SF	110	29	81	40	41			
FPA - The Enclave	SF	111	107	4	4				
FPA - Toll Brothers	SF	214		214			50	50	50
FPA - White Rock Springs Ranch V1	SF	93	93	-				y .	
FPA - White Rock Springs Ranch V2	SF	29	14	15	15				
FPA - White Rock Springs Ranch V3	SF	52	40	12	12				
FPA - White Rock Springs Ranch V4	SF	50	48	2	2)))))))))))))))))))			
FPA - White Rock Springs Ranch V5	SF	21	21	-			10 11 20 11		
FPA - White Rock Springs Ranch V6	SF	24	24	-					
FPA - White Rock Springs Ranch V7	SF	40	39	1	1				
The state of the s				- 10					

FPA - White Rock Springs Ranch V8	SF	42	31	11	11	1	T T		
FPA - White Rock Springs Ranch V9	SF	44	44	-					
Glenborough at Easton	SF	314	-	314	T I		1		25
Glenborough at Easton	MF	1,266	-	1,266		2 2	7		*
Glenborough at Easton	SF	1,659	-	1,659			100	100	100
Kilgore Apartments	MF	240	-	240		120	120		
Kilgore Townhomes	SFA	177		177		88	89		
La Collina dal Lago	SF	38	30	8	2	2	2	2	
North Douglas - Village 2	SF	80	79	1				1	
North Douglas - Village 7B	SF	66	65	1				1	
Parkway Apartments - Oak & Blue Ravine	MF	72	72	-					
Persifer St Habitat for Humanity	SF	10	-	10		10			
Rio Del Oro - Easton	SF	-	-	-					
Rio Del Oro - Easton	MF	1,092	-	1,092					
Rio Del Oro - Easton	SF	5,912	-	5,912				50	50
Rio del Oro - Elliott (Bucket)	SF	1,296	-	1,296	0	100	100	100	100
Rio del Oro - Elliott (Bucket)	SFA	2,048	-	2,048			J. L.	75	75
Rio del Oro - Elliott (Bucket)	MF	1,456		1,456					200
Rio Del Oro - Elliott Parcels (67 & 68)	SF	253	78	175	50	50	50	25	
Rio Del Oro - Elliott White Rock (Parcel	SF	132	-	132			44	44	44
Sibley Street Rezone (1014)	SF	10	20	10		10			
Stone Creek - Veranda	SF	163	163	-					
Sunrise Crossing Affordable - St. Anton	MF	84	-	84		84			
Terra Loma Condos	MF	11	-	11		11			
The Preserve (north douglas)	SF	434	-	434	55	75	75	75	75
The Residences at Capital Center	MF	240	15	240		60	60	60	60
The Residences at Capital Center	SFA	177	-	177		44	44	44	45
Trinity Woods Estates	MF	21	-	21					21
Westborough at Easton	SFA	1,716		1,716					
Westborough at Easton	MF	1,111		1,111					
Westborough at Easton	SF	2,179	-	2,179					
Totals		36,019	3,972	32,047	747	1,995	1,978	1,310	1,630

Appendix B

SAB 50-02, Baseline Facility Capacity

STATE OF CALIFORNIA EXISTING BUILDING CAPACITY

STATE ALLOCATION BOARD
OFFICE OF PUBLIC SCHOOL CONSTRUCTION
PAGE 1 OF 1

EXISTING BUILDING CAPACITY	PAGE 1 OF
SAB 50-02 (NEW 12CAM8) SCHOOL DISTRICT FOLSOM COrdova USD	FIVE DIGIT DISTRIPTS GOOD NUMBER (see California Public School Directory)
1	HIGH SCHOOL ATTENDANCE AREA (Fajphracia) Folsom High
Sacramento	FOISOM NAKH

PART I Classroom Inventory	K-6	7-8	9-12	TOTAL
Leased State Relocatable Classrooms (Chapter 14)			-	+
Portable Classrooms Leased Less Than 5 Years	6		6	12
3. Interim Housing Portables Leased Less Than 5 Years				-
4. Interim Housing Portables Leased At Least 5 Years			-	-
5. Portable Classrooms Leased At Least 5 Years				
6. Portable Classrooms Owned By the District	82	5	12	99
7. Permanent Classrooms	87	40	68	195
8. Total	175	45	86	306
o. rotal				

PART II.- Available Classrooms

Option A.	K-6	7-8	9-12	TOTAL
a. Part 1, Line 4				
b.) Part 1, Line 5	Ž			
c. Part 1, Line 6	82	5	12	99
d. Part 1, Line 7	87	40	68	195
e. Total a b c & d	169	45	80	294

Option B.	K-6	7-8	9-12	TOTAL
a. Part 1, Line 8	175	45	86	306
b. Part 1 Lines 1, 2, 5 & 6 Total				111
c. 25% of Part 1, Line 7				49
d. Subtract c from b ' (enter 0 if negative)	48	2	12	62
e. total, a minus d	127	43	74	244

PART III.- Determination of Existing School Building Capacity

	K-6 @ 25	7-8 @ 27	9-12 @ 27	
Line 1. Classroom Capacity	3175	1161	1998	
Line 2. SER adjustment	191			
Line 3. Operational Grants				
Line 4. Greater of line 2 or 3	191			Tota
Line 5. Total lines 1 & 4	3366	1161	1998	6525

CT REPRESENTATIVE CERTIFICATION

I certify that this form is an exact duplicate (verbatim) of the form provided by the Office of Public School Construction (OPSC). In the event a conflict should exist, then the language in the OPSC Form will prevail. It is understood that Government Code Section 12650 et seq. Provides for penalties including the imposition of trable damages, for making false claims against the State.

SIGNATURE OF DISTRICT REPRESENTATIVE

Wath Washbur

DATE

3/26/99

EXISTING SCHOOL BUILDING CAPACITY
SAB 50-02 (Rev. 8/199) Excel (Rev. 10/28/189)
SCHOOL DISTRICT FIVE DIGIT DISTRICT CODE NUMBER (see Celifornio Public School Directory) 67330
HIGH SCHOOL ATTENDANCE AREA (If sophicable)
COIDOVA HSAA SOM-CORDOVA UNIFIED RAMENTO

PART Clawroom Inventory P New Amended		7.8	9-12	TOTAL
ARTIC Opposition in terrory				
ine 1. Leased State Relocatable Classrooms	12			12
ine 2. Portable Classrooms Leased Less Than 5 Years	12			
ine 3. Interim Housing Portables Leased Less Than 5 Years				
ine 4. Interim Housing Portables Leased At Least 5 Years				
ine 5. Portable Classrooms Leased At Least 5 Years			40	41
	22	1	18	41
ine 6. Portable Classrooms Owned By The District	161	81	88	330
ine 7. Permanent Classrooms	195	82	106	383
Line 8. Total	193			

PART II Available	Classro K-6	/iB	9,12	1TOTAL
a. Part 1, Line 4				
b. Part 1, Line 5				
c. Part 1, Line 6	22	1	18	41
d. Part 1, Line 7	161	81	88	330
e. Total a b c & d	183	82	106	371

Catlon B	i kve	7.8	9.12	TOTAL
a. Part 1, Line 8	195	82	106	383
b. Part 1 Lines 1, 2, 5 & 6 (Total only)	i ja			53
c. 25% of Part 1, Line 7		Y jijiji	iesii i	83
d Subtract c from b				
e. Total, a minus d	195	82	106	383

PART III.- Determination of Existing School Building Capacity

		214 2,862	
Line 1. Classroom capacity	275		
ine 2. SER adjustment			
Ine 3. Operational Grants	275		
Line 4. Greater of Ilne 2 or 3		214 2,862	
Line 5. Total, lines 1 & 4	4,000	2,552	

I certify, as the District Representative, that the information provided on this Form is true and correct and that:	
I certify, as the District Representative, that the district by the governing board of the district.	
I am designated as anauthorized district representative by the governing board of the district.	SC).
I am designated as anauthorized district representative by the growided by the Office of Public School Construction (OF I certify that this form is an exact duplicate (verbatim) of the form provided by the Office of Public School Construction (OF	
In the event a conflict should exist, then the language in the OPSC Form will prevail.	

In the event o commendation of the	DATE / /
GIGNATURE OF DISTRICT REPRESENTATIVE	01/19/00
Mat Walklum	
1-18-00	
Post-It ** brand fax transmittal memo 7671 # of pages > 3	

Appendix C

SAB 50-01, Enrollment Certification/Projection

		superintendent of schools					
Elementary	Non-Severe	Severe	Secondary	Non-Severe	Severe		
	96	218		201	243		
нн			нн				
DEAF		NAMES OF STREET	DEAF				
н			н				
SLI			SLI				
VI			VI				
SED		r in whitegraphical	SED		- STE-		
OI			OI				
оні			оні				
SLD		2.00012.00012.00	SLD				
DB			DB		112		
МН		110000000000	МН		-0.0		
AUT			AUT				
TBI			TBI				
TOTAL	96	218	TOTAL	201	243		

Part D. Special Day Class Pupils - (districts or county

Elementary	Non-Severe	Severe	Secondary	Non-Severe	Severe
	78	178		210	254
НН			НН		
DEAF			DEAF		
н			н		
SLI			SLI		- Control of
VI			VI		
SED			SED	370	
OI			OI	Easymen er	
ОНІ			OHI		
SLD			SLD		
DB			DB	110	
МН	60 - 1980 1 80 0 - 1980 1		МН		
AUT			AUT		
TBI			TBI		
TOTAL	78	178	TOTAL	210	254

One Year Projected Enrollment - State Relocatable Program Projections - (except special day class pupils only)

K-6	7-8	9-12	TOTAL
9.971	3.041	6.749	19,761

Projections - (special day class pupils only)

	Elementary	Secondary		Elementary	Secondary
MR	305	455	OI	00000	
нн			ОНІ		
DEAF			SLD		
н			DB		
SLI			МН		
VI			AUT		CESTIVE STATE
SED			TBI		
			TOTAL	305	455

I certify, as the District Representative, that the Information reported on this form is true and correct and that:

I am designated as an authorized district representative by the governing board of the district.

If the district is requesting an augmentation in the enrollment projection pursuant to Regulation Section 1859.42 (b), the local planning commission or approval authority has approved the tentative subdivision map used for augmentation of the enrollment and the district has identified dwelling units in that map to be contracted. All subdivision maps used for augmentation of enrollment are available at the district for review by the Office of Public School Construction (OPSC). This form is an exact duplicate (verbatim) of the form provided by the Office of Public School Construction.

In the event a conflict should exist, then the language in the OPSC form will prevail.

Appendix D

Level II Fee Calculations

Folsom Cordova Unified School District Level II Fee Calculations - SFID 1 (Rancho Cordova) 2023

Student Gen	eration	School	and	Site	Noods

Student Generation Calculation	on:								207 8 9		
Type of Housing	Proposed Housing Units		Average Square Feet		Total Square Feet		Grade Level Grouping		Student Yield Per Household		Students Generated (Prop. Units x Yield)
Single Family	3,926	Х	2,400	=	9,422,400		K-5	X	0.32	=	1256
							6-8	X	0.14	=	550
							9-12	x	0.15	=	589
							SDC	X	0.01	=	39
Single Familty Attached	779	x	1,500	=	1,168,500		K-5	×	0.62	_	179
omgio i ammy i maorioa	10.00		1,000		111001000		6-8	X	0.05	=	39
							9-12	×	0.07	=	55
							SDC	x	0.01	=	8
									0.36		
Multi Family	2,955	X	1,052	=	3,108,660		K-5	X	0.16	=	473
							6-8	X	0.06	=	177
							9-12	X	0.07	=	207
							SDC	X	0.01	=	30
									0.30		
Total Housing Units	7,660	Sy.	Total Sq	uare Feet	13,699,560		Total	Stu	dents Generat	ed	3,602
Schools and Acreage Require	ed Calculation:										
\$1000 CO. (1000			Master		Number of		CDE				
	Students		Planned		Schools		Recommende				Number of Acres
Students Generated	Generated		Capacity		Required		d Acreage				Required
K-5 + SDC	1985	÷	673	=	2.949	х	10.0	=			29.49
6-8	766	÷	900	=	0.851	х	22.2	=			18.89
9-12	851	÷	2100	=	0.405	×	54.8	=			22.19

State Base Grant Calculation:	Grade Level Grouping			Students Generated		State per Pupil Grant		Total State Base Grant
	K-5			1908	X	\$16,270	=	\$31,043,160
	6-8			766	x	\$17,248	=	\$13,211,968
	9-12			851	×	\$21,883	=	\$18,622,433
	SDC			77	×	\$38,248	=	\$2,945,096
				Tota	I Sta	te Base Gran	nt	\$65,822,657
		Site Acq.		Site Acq.				
	Grade Level	per Acre @		per Acre @		Acres		Total State - Funded
State Site Acquisition Cost Calculation:	Grouping	100%		50%		Required		Site Acquisition
	K-5 + SDC	\$600,000	÷ 2	\$300,000	X	29.49	Ξ	\$8,847,000
	6-8	\$600,000	÷ 2	\$300,000	×	18.89	=	\$5,667,000
	9-12	\$600,000	÷ 2	\$300,000	×	22.19	=	\$6,657,000
				Total Site	Acq	uisition Cost	s	\$21,171,000
State Site Development Costs	Consider Level	Site Day was		Cita Davi man				Total State Freeded
(Service Site, General Site, Offisite, Utilities,	Grade Level	Site Dev. per		Site Dev. per		Acres		Total State-Funded
NOA):	Grouping K-5 + SDC	Acre @ 100% \$400,000	+ 2	Acre @ 50%		Required 29.49	=	Site Development
	6-8	\$400,000	÷ 2	\$200,000 \$200,000	X	18.89		\$5,898,000 \$3,778,000
	9-12	\$400,000	÷ 2	\$200,000	×	22.19	3	\$4,438,000
	5-12	φ400,000	. 4		953	opment Cost	s	\$14,114,000
						ID #122/07-00:1947-17-00		
				tate Matching I				\$101,107,657
		Divided by	Prop	osed Residenta	al Sc	uare Footage		13,699,560
		Level II Fee fo	r SF	ID 1 (Rand	cho	Cordova)		\$7.38

Folsom Cordova Unified School District Level II Fee Calculations - SFID 2 (Folsom) 2023

Student Generation, School and Site Needs

Student Generation Calcula	ation:								O TOTAL CONTROL OF		
Type of Housing	Proposed Housing Units		Average Square Feet		Total Square Feet		Grade Level Grouping		Student Yield Per Household		Students Generate (Prop. Units x Yield)
Single Family	3,926	х	2,400	=	9,422,400		K-5	х	0.32	=	1256
							6-8	x	0.14	=	550
							9-12	×	0.15	=	589
							SDC	х	0.01	=	39
Single Familty Attached	779	x	1,500	=	1,168,500		K-5	x	0.62]	179
omgio i ammy radonou			1,000		1,100,000		6-8	x	0.05	=	39
							9-12	x	0.07	=	55
							SDC	x	0.01	=	8
									0.36	1	
Multi Family	2,955	x	1,052	=	3,108,660		K-5	x	0.16	=	473
ARTHURA ARTHURANTON THE	000000000000000000000000000000000000000						6-8	×	0.06	=	177
							9-12	x	0.07	=	207
							SDC	x	0.01	=	30
	Walle State of the	,		-			0.000		0.30	1	03578
Total Housing Units	7,660	Г	Total Sq	uare Feet	13,699,560	j	Total	Stuc	lents Genera	ted	3,602
Schools and Acreage Regu	ired Calculation:										
			Master		Number of		CDE				
	Students		Planned		Schools		Recommended				Number of Acres
Students Generated	Generated		Capacity		Required		Acreage				Required
K-5 + SDC	1985	+	673	=	2.949	Х	10.0	=			29.49
6-8	766	+	1200	=	0.638	x	23.5	=			14.99
9-12	851	+	1800	=	0.473	×	51.7	=			24.45

State Funding and Level II Fee Calculation

State Base Grant Calculation:	Grade Level Grouping			Students Generated		State per Pupil Grant		Total State Base Grant	
	K-5			1908	х	\$16,270	=	\$31,043,160	
	6-8			766	×	\$17,248	=	\$13,211,968	
	9-12			851	×	\$21,883	=	\$18,622,433	
	SDC			77	x	\$38,248	=	\$2,945,096	
				Tota	I Sta	te Base Gra	nt	\$65,822,657	
		Site Acq.							
	Grade Level	per Acre @		Site Acq.		Acres		Total State - Funded	
State Site Acquisition Cost Calculation:	Grouping	100%		per Acre @ 50%	6	Required		Site Acquisition	
	K-5 + SDC	\$825,000	+2	\$412,500	х	29.49	=	\$12,164,625	
	6-8	\$825,000	+2	\$412,500	x	14.99	=	\$6,183,375	
	9-12	\$825,000	+2	\$412,500	×	24.45	=	\$10,085,625	
				Total Site	Acq	uisition Cos	ts	\$28,433,625	
State Site Development Costs	200 YOU 000V			22.0.2.000.000		19000000			
(Service Site, General Site, Offisite,	Grade Level	Site Dev. per		Site Dev. per		Acres		Total State-Funded	
Utilities, NOA):	Grouping	Acre @ 100%		Acre @ 50%		Required		Site Development	
	K-5 + SDC	\$800,000	+2	\$400,000	×	29.49	=	\$11,796,000	
	6-8	\$800,000	+2	\$400,000	×	14.99	=	\$5,996,000	
	9-12	\$800,000	+2	\$400,000	x	24.45	=	\$9,780,000	
				Total Site D	evel	opment Cos	ts	\$27,572,000	
		Total State Matching Fund Requirement \$121,82							
		Divided by	13,699,560						
		Lev	el II	Fee for SFI	D 2	(Folsom)	1	\$8.89	