



# School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) Template

Instructions and requirements for completing the SPSA template may be found in the SPSA Template Instructions.

School Name	County-District-School (CDS) Code	School Site Council (SSC) Approval Date	Local Board Approval Date
Vista del Lago High School	34673300113571	September 14, 2022	October 20, 2022

# Table of Contents

SPSA Title Page .....	1
Table of Contents.....	2
<b>Purpose and Description .....</b>	<b>4</b>
School Vision and Mission .....	4
Comprehensive Needs Assessment Components .....	4
Data Analysis .....	4
Surveys .....	4
Classroom Observations .....	5
Analysis of Current Instructional Program.....	5
Educational Partner Involvement .....	9
Resource Inequities .....	9
School and Student Performance Data .....	10
Student Enrollment.....	10
CAASPP Results.....	12
ELPAC Results .....	16
Student Population.....	19
Overall Performance .....	21
Academic Performance .....	22
Academic Engagement .....	33
Conditions & Climate.....	35
Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures.....	37
Goal 1.....	37
Goal 2.....	41
Goal 3.....	45
Goal 4.....	49
Budget Summary .....	52
Budget Summary .....	52
Other Federal, State, and Local Funds .....	52
Budgeted Funds and Expenditures in this Plan .....	53
Funds Budgeted to the School by Funding Source.....	53
Expenditures by Funding Source .....	53
Expenditures by Budget Reference .....	53
Expenditures by Budget Reference and Funding Source .....	53
Expenditures by Goal.....	54
School Site Council Membership .....	55
English Learner Advisory Committee (ELAC).....	56

Recommendations and Assurances .....57

Instructions.....58

    Instructions: Linked Table of Contents .....58

    Purpose and Description .....59

    Educational Partner Involvement .....59

    Resource Inequities .....59

Goals, Strategies, Expenditures, & Annual Review .....60

    Annual Review .....61

    Budget Summary .....62

    Appendix A: Plan Requirements .....64

    Appendix B: .....67

    Appendix C: Select State and Federal Programs .....69

## Purpose and Description

Briefly describe the purpose of this plan (Select from Schoolwide Program, Comprehensive Support and Improvement, Targeted Support and Improvement, or Additional Targeted Support and Improvement)

Schoolwide Program

Comprehensive Support and Improvement

Targeted Support and Improvement

Additional Targeted Support and Improvement

Briefly describe the school's plan for effectively meeting the ESSA requirements in alignment with the Local Control and Accountability Plan and other federal, state, and local programs.

At Vista del Lago, administrators and teachers work toward ensuring equity in learning through professional learning communities that provide high quality instruction, common assessments and data driven intervention and support. We continue to focus our efforts on the academic, social, and emotional needs of our students through our Curriculum, Advisory, and Flextime Intervention program.

## School Vision and Mission

### Mission:

Vista del Lago High School is committed to providing a positive and relevant learning environment where every student is prepared for 21st-century college and career success.

### Vision:

Vista del Lago High School is a Professional Learning Community dedicated to ensuring that each student gains the knowledge and skills necessary to demonstrate outstanding academic and personal achievement. In partnership with students, parents, and the community, we are dedicated to developing engaged and ethical young adults who approach learning with courage, compassion, and resilience in a diverse and changing global community.

## Comprehensive Needs Assessment Components

### Data Analysis

Please refer to the School and Student Performance Data section where an analysis is provided.

### Surveys

This section provides a description of surveys (i.e., Student, Parent, Teacher) used during the school-year, and a summary of results from the survey(s).

Along with the California Healthy Kids Survey (CHKS), several other district and site surveys were utilized during the 2021-2022 school year to assess students' connection to school and mental health needs. Based on the CHKS indicators, School Connectedness and Caring Adult Relationships have decreased when compared to years prior to the pandemic. However, they appear to be improving slightly when compared to results from the 2020-2021 survey. These continue to be identified as areas for improvement as we return to more normal school operations.

Our PBIS team and Advisory program continue to focus on the subsection Caring Adult Relationships and Chronic Sadness/Hopelessness. In the Caring Adult Relationship sections, the data from the 2021-2022 CHKS held relatively stable when compared to the previous year with a slight increase in 9th graders selecting "Very much true" or "Pretty much true" at 54% (9th grade) and remaining unchanged at 59% (11th grade). The prior year these numbers were at 51 (9th) and 59 (11th). Compared to years prior to the pandemic, these numbers are still low but may be stabilizing and indicating a place for our staff to continue to support students as we return to more normal school operations. The PBIS team and Advisory classes continue to focus on ways to make connections between students to caring adults. Support for improvement happens in Advisory through team building activities, student guidance, and support, character lessons, "Working on Wellness" lessons and similar student focused activities.

## **Classroom Observations**

This section provides a description of types and frequency of classroom observations conducted during the school-year and a summary of findings.

The administration conducts multiple formal and informal observations each year for all probationary teachers. All tenured teachers are put on a formal observation rotation schedule. All classroom teachers are informally observed through classroom walk-throughs. Vista also strongly encourages its Division Leaders to observe members of their division. Through our observations, we have affirmed that the vast majority of our teaching staff is employing sound instructional practices and actively engaging their students. We continue the process of implementing Professional Learning Communities (PLC) with an emphasis on assessment for learning, an equitable and viable curriculum for all learners, and a sound, standards-based grading policy in every classroom.

## **Analysis of Current Instructional Program**

The following statements are derived from the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) of 1965 and Essential Program Components (EPCs). In conjunction with the needs assessments, these categories may be used to discuss and develop critical findings that characterize current instructional practice for numerically significant subgroups as well as individual students who are:

- Not meeting performance goals
- Meeting performance goals
- Exceeding performance goals

Discussion of each of these statements should result in succinct and focused findings based on verifiable facts. Avoid vague or general descriptions. Each successive school plan should examine the status of these findings and note progress made. Special consideration should be given to any practices, policies, or procedures found to be noncompliant through ongoing monitoring of categorical programs.

## Standards, Assessment, and Accountability

Use of state and local assessments to modify instruction and improve student achievement (ESEA)

Vista administration and teachers review California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress (CAASPP), Advanced Placement (AP), Scholastic Assessment Test (SAT), American College Testing (ACT), A-G data, and Edmentum data at the beginning of each school year in an effort to improve instruction and learning. Teacher teams are provided copies of all data and utilize a data analysis protocol in order to analyze the strengths and needs of the school as a whole, as well as the programs within their respective departments. Each division develops department goals that support district, schoolwide, and department needs for improvement. These goals are connected to the district Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) and our site WASC and School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA).

Use of data to monitor student progress on curriculum-embedded assessments and modify instruction (EPC)

The site leadership team reviews grade data every progress reporting period to monitor student progress and ensure equity in all courses. Teachers are expected to develop and utilize common assessments in order to review individual student data and place students in appropriate interventions. Edmentum diagnostic exams also support student placement in FlexTime intervention and appropriate foundation courses. With the implementation of a PLC model, department teams are continuing to shift data conversations focused not only on program improvement but on individual student needs as well.

## Staffing and Professional Development

Status of meeting requirements for highly qualified staff (ESEA)

All teachers are highly qualified and credentialed in the subject area they teach. Vista del Lago is staffed with 75 teachers, 4 administrators, 3 FTE counselors, and 60 classified and support staff members. Over 40% of our staff hold master's degrees and six teachers are National Board Certified. Nearly all of our teachers are fully credentialed, NCLB compliant and Cross-cultural, Language and Academic Development (CLAD) or Bilingual Cross-cultural, Language and Academic Development (BCLAD) authorized. Three of our teachers are in the Beginning Teacher Support and Assessment (BTSA) program and one is in the Intern Program.

Sufficiency of credentialed teachers and teacher professional development (e.g., access to instructional materials training on SBE-adopted instructional materials) (EPC)

All teachers are qualified by credential; all teachers have access to professional development through GoSignMeUp (GSMU); all teachers participate in site professional development at Faculty Meetings and during Professional Learning Community designated time to support our Single plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) goals.

Alignment of staff development to content standards, assessed student performance, and professional needs (ESEA)

All professional development opportunities available to staff, including workshops, conferences, and district content specialists, support best practices and improved student performance. Site level professional development opportunities focus on the work of professional learning communities and support school and district wide equity work.

Ongoing instructional assistance and support for teachers (e.g., use of content experts and instructional coaches) (EPC)

District lead teachers, as well as site division leaders and administrators, provide ongoing support to teachers. Our National Board Certified teachers and division leaders also play a role in mentoring teachers on campus.

Teacher collaboration by grade level (kindergarten through grade eight [K–8]) and department (grades nine through twelve) (EPC)

Professional Learning Teams collaborate for 90 minutes once per week, three times per month. This collaboration focuses on developing common learning targets and assessments, as well as reviewing student and program data for intervention and continual improvement. Release time is also provided for collaborative teams to align curriculum and assessments.

## Teaching and Learning

Alignment of curriculum, instruction, and materials to content and performance standards (ESEA)

Vista staff have been tasked with identifying essential standards, developing shared learning targets, and aligning grading practices. Teachers continue to work on common formative and summative assessments in order to provide equitable learning opportunities as well as necessary intervention to those students who have not yet mastered essential standards.

Adherence to recommended instructional minutes for reading/language arts and mathematics (K–8) (EPC)

N/A

Lesson pacing schedule (K–8) and master schedule flexibility for sufficient numbers of intervention courses (EPC)

N/A

Availability of standards-based instructional materials appropriate to all student groups (ESEA)

All teachers and students have access to standards-based instructional materials. Vista is 1:1 with all students having access to computing devices while they are on the campus with available Wi-Fi campus-wide.

Use of SBE-adopted and standards-aligned instructional materials, including intervention materials, and for high school students, access to standards-aligned core courses (EPC)

All courses are aligned with the California State Content Standards (CCSS).

## Opportunity and Equal Educational Access

Services provided by the regular program that enable underperforming students to meet standards (ESEA)

Vista del Lago offers SAI courses in math and ELA. Special Education students also have access to a Study Skills course. Foundation courses in ELA and Integrated Math I & II provide students with the foundational skills necessary to be successful in grade level courses. Students have access to 36 minutes of intervention within the school day, 3 times per week.

Evidence-based educational practices to raise student achievement

In order to create a classroom environment that fosters learning, our school is working toward implementing the PBIS program school wide, ensuring consistency in behavior expectations, interventions, and supports across the campus. Teachers also use Advisory to present lessons designed to support college and career readiness. The implementation of PLCs and common learning targets and assessments support timely interventions within the school day and increased student achievement.

## Parental Engagement

Resources available from family, school, district, and community to assist under-achieving students (ESEA)

Vista del Lago has a very active Parent Teacher Student Organization (PTSO), as well as drama, music, guitar and athletic booster clubs that contribute significant resources to support student needs.

Involvement of parents, community representatives, classroom teachers, other school personnel, and students in secondary schools, in the planning, implementation, and evaluation of ConApp programs (5 California Code of Regulations 3932)

Vista del Lago Site Council meets six times yearly to discuss the schools progress on goals and how best to support school wide initiatives.

## Funding

Services provided by categorical funds that enable underperforming students to meet standards (ESEA)

Support for intervention, curriculum support, and professional development are categorically funded.

Fiscal support (EPC)

Vista del Lago High School has three main sources of funding including district office support, donations, and PTSO and booster group fundraising efforts.



# Educational Partner Involvement

How, when, and with whom did the school consult as part of the planning process for this SPSA/Annual Review and Update?

## Involvement Process for the SPSA and Annual Review and Update

Vista del Lago utilized a variety of outreach efforts for review, update, and development of the SPSA.

We receive parent and community input through the following meetings:

- Monthly PTSO meetings
- Monthly Athletic, Music, Art, and Drama booster meetings
- Three fall and three spring Site Council meetings

We receive student input from the following:

- Monthly Student Advisory Board meetings
- Student Advisory surveys
- Meetings with Associated Student Body Leaders and Student Senators

We receive staff input through the following:

- Weekly Leadership Team meetings
- Weekly School Culture meetings
- Monthly Academic Intervention meetings
- Monthly Department Leader meetings
- Weekly Counselor meeting
- Monthly certificated meetings
- Quarterly classified meetings
- Bi-yearly staff surveys

## Resource Inequities

Briefly identify and describe any resource inequities identified as a result of the required needs assessment, as applicable.

While we do not have any serious inequities, we do have issues when supporting our English Learners with the required curriculum since our English Learners (EL) numbers are too low for a separate class. Resources and EL instruction, therefore, occur within the regular classroom. However, we recognize there is a gap in the data at the district level.

Another inequity unique to our campus is the lack of adequate classrooms and facilities for teachers and students due to over-enrollment. The district has put in place policies to limit the over-enrollment at Vista del Lago.

# School and Student Performance Data

## Student Enrollment By Student Group

Student Enrollment by Subgroup						
Student Group	Percent of Enrollment			Number of Students		
	19-20	20-21	21-22	19-20	20-21	21-22
American Indian	0.48%	0.3%	0.28%	9	5	5
African American	1.18%	1.4%	1.12%	22	25	20
Asian	19.52%	21.7%	24.71%	363	392	441
Filipino	2.26%	2.4%	2.58%	42	43	46
Hispanic/Latino	10.65%	10.1%	10.31%	198	182	184
Pacific Islander	0.27%	0.2%	0.28%	5	3	5
White	61.4%	59.2%	55.46%	1,142	1,072	990
Multiple/No Response	4.25%	4.9%	5.27%	79	88	94
Total Enrollment				1,860	1,810	1,785

## Student Enrollment By Grade Level

Student Enrollment by Grade Level			
Grade	Number of Students		
	19-20	20-21	21-22
Grade 9	445	448	414
Grade 10	498	433	457
Grade 11	446	469	429
Grade 12	471	460	485
Total Enrollment	1,860	1,810	1,785

### Conclusions based on this data:

1. While our enrollment has remained relatively steady, because of school closures and distance learning, we have lost some students to other school options. Consequently, our enrollment has decreased slightly. District has also implemented changes to boundaries to limit Vistas enrollment and we are starting to see the effect of those changes.
2. While the white subgroup is still the majority, there continues to be slight increases in the diversity of our student population.
3. Vista continues to be at capacity and limit enrollment to only students living within our boundaries.

# School and Student Performance Data

## Student Enrollment English Learner (EL) Enrollment

English Learner (EL) Enrollment						
Student Group	Number of Students			Percent of Students		
	19-20	20-21	21-22	19-20	20-21	21-22
English Learners	13	10	16	0.7%	0.60%	0.9%
Fluent English Proficient (FEP)	303	313	346	16.3%	17.30%	19.4%
Reclassified Fluent English Proficient (RFEP)	0	1		0.0%	0.10%	

### Conclusions based on this data:

1. Our EL enrollment remains constant, at < 1%
2. The percentage of Fluent English Proficient students continues to increase.
3. EL students continue to be provided additional language supports through all courses and Vista's English Foundations course (grades 10-12) and Beginning Composition (grade 9).

# School and Student Performance Data

## CAASPP Results English Language Arts/Literacy (All Students)

Overall Participation for All Students												
Grade Level	# of Students Enrolled			# of Students Tested			# of Students with			% of Enrolled Students		
	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22
Grade 11	455	452	413	448	0	399	447	0	399	98.5	0.0	96.6
All Grades	455	452	413	448	0	399	447	0	399	98.5	0.0	96.6

The “% of Enrolled Students Tested” showing in this table is not the same as “Participation Rate” for federal accountability purposes.

2019-20 Data:

Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

Overall Achievement for All Students															
Grade Level	Mean Scale Score			% Standard			% Standard Met			% Standard Nearly			% Standard Not		
	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22
Grade 11	2663.		2658.	49.66		46.87	30.20		29.32	12.08		13.78	8.05		10.03
All Grades	N/A	N/A	N/A	49.66		46.87	30.20		29.32	12.08		13.78	8.05		10.03

2019-20 Data:

Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

Reading Demonstrating understanding of literary and non-fictional texts									
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22
Grade 11	55.48		42.71	33.56		50.50	10.96		6.78
All Grades	55.48		42.71	33.56		50.50	10.96		6.78

2019-20 Data:

Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

Writing Producing clear and purposeful writing									
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22
Grade 11	55.48		47.99	36.02		40.45	8.50		11.56
All Grades	55.48		47.99	36.02		40.45	8.50		11.56

2019-20 Data:

Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

Listening Demonstrating effective communication skills									
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22
<b>Grade 11</b>	41.61		23.37	50.78		71.36	7.61		5.28
<b>All Grades</b>	41.61		23.37	50.78		71.36	7.61		5.28

2019-20 Data:

Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

Research/Inquiry Investigating, analyzing, and presenting information									
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22
<b>Grade 11</b>	55.26		39.20	35.35		53.52	9.40		7.29
<b>All Grades</b>	55.26		39.20	35.35		53.52	9.40		7.29

2019-20 Data:

Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

**Conclusions based on this data:**

1. While our overall ELA scores remained high, the percentage of students scoring at or above standard dropped 4% in 2018-2019. Students were not assessed in 2019-2020 or 2020-2021 due to school closures and hybrid learning. Assessment resumed in 2021-2022.
2. There was a slight drop in students scoring above standard in reading, writing, listening and research/inquiry in 2018-2019
3. There was a slight drop in the number of students scoring above standard in the overall achievement in ELA in 2018-2019.

# School and Student Performance Data

## CAASPP Results Mathematics (All Students)

Overall Participation for All Students												
Grade Level	# of Students Enrolled			# of Students Tested			# of Students with			% of Enrolled Students		
	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22
Grade 11	455	452	413	450	0	397	450	0	397	98.9	0.0	96.1
All Grades	455	452	413	450	0	397	450	0	397	98.9	0.0	96.1

\* The “% of Enrolled Students Tested” showing in this table is not the same as “Participation Rate” for federal accountability purposes.

### 2019-20 Data:

Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

Overall Achievement for All Students															
Grade Level	Mean Scale Score			% Standard			% Standard Met			% Standard Nearly			% Standard Not		
	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22
Grade 11	2664.		2643.	29.56		27.20	39.33		28.46	18.67		25.19	12.44		19.14
All Grades	N/A	N/A	N/A	29.56		27.20	39.33		28.46	18.67		25.19	12.44		19.14

### 2019-20 Data:

Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

Concepts & Procedures Applying mathematical concepts and procedures									
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22
Grade 11	44.89		33.75	36.22		46.85	18.89		19.40
All Grades	44.89		33.75	36.22		46.85	18.89		19.40

### 2019-20 Data:

Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

Problem Solving & Modeling/Data Analysis Using appropriate tools and strategies to solve real world and mathematical problems									
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22
Grade 11	43.56		32.75	44.89		52.90	11.56		14.36
All Grades	43.56		32.75	44.89		52.90	11.56		14.36

### 2019-20 Data:

Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

Communicating Reasoning Demonstrating ability to support mathematical conclusions									
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22
<b>Grade 11</b>	41.11		27.96	49.11		61.21	9.78		10.83
<b>All Grades</b>	41.11		27.96	49.11		61.21	9.78		10.83

2019-20 Data:

Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

**Conclusions based on this data:**

1. Students meeting or exceeding standards increased overall by almost 3% in 2018-2019. Testing did not occur in the 2019-2020 or 2020-2021 school year due to COVID-19 closure and hybrid learning. Assessment resumed in the 2021-2022 school year.
2. We decreased the number of students scoring below standard in Problem Solving and Modeling & Data Analysis by 3% in 2018-2019
3. Unfortunately, students scoring below standard in Communicating Reasoning increased by 3% in 2018-2019.

# School and Student Performance Data

## ELPAC Results

ELPAC Summative Assessment Data Number of Students and Mean Scale Scores for All Students												
Grade Level	Overall			Oral Language			Written Language			Number of Students Tested		
	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22
9	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	8	*	6
10	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
11	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
12	*		*	*		*	*		*	4	*	*
All Grades										16	7	12

2019-20 Data:

Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

Overall Language Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students															
Grade Level	Level 4			Level 3			Level 2			Level 1			Total Number of Students		
	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22
9	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
10	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
11	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
12	*		*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*		*
All Grades	12.50	*	9.09	25.00	*	72.73	37.50	*	18.18	25.00	*	0.00	16	*	11

2019-20 Data:

Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

Oral Language Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students															
Grade Level	Level 4			Level 3			Level 2			Level 1			Total Number of Students		
	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22
9	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
10	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
11	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
12	*		*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*		*
All Grades	25.00	*	27.27	31.25	*	72.73	25.00	*	0.00	18.75	*	0.00	16	*	11

2019-20 Data:

Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.



Written Language Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students															
Grade Level	Level 4			Level 3			Level 2			Level 1			Total Number of Students		
	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22
9	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
10	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
11	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
12	*		*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*		*
All Grades	6.25	*	0.00	12.50	*	45.45	43.75	*	45.45	37.50	*	9.09	16	*	11

2019-20 Data:

Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

Listening Domain Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students												
Grade Level	Well Developed			Somewhat/Moderately			Beginning			Total Number of Students		
	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22
9	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
10	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
11	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
12	*		*	*		*	*		*	*		*
All Grades	0.00	*	9.09	68.75	*	90.91	31.25	*	0.00	16	*	11

2019-20 Data:

Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

Speaking Domain Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students												
Grade Level	Well Developed			Somewhat/Moderately			Beginning			Total Number of Students		
	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22
9	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
10	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
11	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
12	*		*	*		*	*		*	*		*
All Grades	68.75	*	72.73	12.50	*	27.27	18.75	*	0.00	16	*	11

2019-20 Data:

Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

Reading Domain Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students												
Grade Level	Well Developed			Somewhat/Moderately			Beginning			Total Number of Students		
	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22
9	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
10	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
11	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
12	*		*	*		*	*		*	*		*
All Grades	6.25	*	0.00	43.75	*	90.91	50.00	*	9.09	16	*	11

2019-20 Data:

Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

Writing Domain Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students												
Grade Level	Well Developed			Somewhat/Moderately			Beginning			Total Number of Students		
	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22	18-19	20-21	21-22
9	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
10	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
11	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	
12	*		*	*		*	*		*	*		*
All Grades	6.25	*	18.18	68.75	*	72.73	25.00	*	9.09	16	*	11

2019-20 Data:

Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

**Conclusions based on this data:**

1. Overall performance levels in 2018-2019: 60% scored well developed; 40% scored moderately developed; 10% scored at minimally developed
2. 90% of students scored at Level 4 on Oral Language Performance and Level 3 on Speaking Performance in 2018-2019.
3. Students did not score as well on listening, written and reading portions. 90% of students scored at levels 1 or 2 on reading.

# School and Student Performance Data

## Student Population

Although both Senate Bill 98 and Assembly Bill 130 suspended the publication of state indicators on the 2020 and 2021 California School Dashboards, these bills also required the reporting of valid and reliable data that would have been included in these Dashboards.

To meet this requirement, CDE has made available the Enrollment, Graduation Rate Additional Report and the College/Career Measures Report data available. All other reports are not available for 2020 and 2021.

This section provides information about the school's student population.

2020-21 Student Population			
Total Enrollment	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	English Learners	Foster Youth
1810	4.8	0.6	0.1
This is the total number of students enrolled.	This is the percent of students who are eligible for free or reduced priced meals; or have parents/guardians who did not receive a high school diploma.	This is the percent of students who are learning to communicate effectively in English, typically requiring instruction in both the English Language and in their academic courses.	This is the percent of students whose well-being is the responsibility of a court.

2020-21 Enrollment for All Students/Student Group		
Student Group	Total	Percentage
English Learners	10	0.6
Foster Youth	2	0.1
Homeless	10	0.6
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	86	4.8
Students with Disabilities	139	7.7

Enrollment by Race/Ethnicity		
Student Group	Total	Percentage
African American	25	1.4
American Indian or Alaska Native	5	0.3
Asian	392	21.7
Filipino	43	2.4
Hispanic	182	10.1
Two or More Races	88	4.9
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	3	0.2
White	1072	59.2

### Conclusions based on this data:

1. In 2018-2019, the percentage of enrollment of the white student group decreased from 67.4% to 63.9%. In 2019-2020, it decreased to 61% and further dropped to 59% in the 2020-2021 school year.

2. While still very low, the number of English Learners increased from 0.6% in 2020-21 to 1% in 2021-2022.
3. The percentage of socioeconomically disadvantaged students decreased by half, from 10% in 2018-2019 to 5% in 2019-2020 and has remained steady through the 2021-2022 and 2022-2023 school year.






# School and Student Performance Data

## Overall Performance

Although both Senate Bill 98 and Assembly Bill 130 suspended the publication of state indicators on the 2020 and 2021 California School Dashboards, these bills also required the reporting of valid and reliable data that would have been included in these Dashboards.

To meet this requirement, CDE has made available the Enrollment, Graduation Rate Additional Report and the College/Career Measures Report data available. All other reports are not available for 2020 and 2021, thus the most recent data (2019 Fall) is provided here.

### 2019 Fall Dashboard Overall Performance for All Students

Academic Performance	Academic Engagement	Conditions & Climate
<b>English Language Arts</b>  Green	<b>Graduation Rate</b>  Blue	<b>Suspension Rate</b>  Green
<b>Mathematics</b>  Blue		
<b>College/Career</b>  Blue		

#### Conclusions based on this data:

1. Prior to school closures in the spring of 2020, suspensions were declining. This continues to be an area of focus for our administrative and PBIS team.
2. Our percentage of students that are placed in the "prepared" category for College/Career increased from 71.2% to 76.8%. Unfortunately, while our socioeconomically disadvantaged students that were prepared increased slightly, they are still below the school average.
3. Our graduation rate decreased slightly from 98.6% in 2018-2019 to 97.8% in 2019-2020. Our graduation rate increased to 99.3% in 2020-2021.

# School and Student Performance Data

## Academic Performance English Language Arts


Although both Senate Bill 98 and Assembly Bill 130 suspended the publication of state indicators on the 2020 and 2021 California School Dashboards, these bills also required the reporting of valid and reliable data that would have been included in these Dashboards.

To meet this requirement, CDE has made available the Enrollment, Graduation Rate Additional Report and the College/Career Measures Report data available. All other reports are not available for 2020 and 2021, thus the most recent data (2019 Fall) is provided here.







The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:











This section provides number of student groups in each color.



This section provides a view of Student Assessment Results and other aspects of this school's performance, specifically how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the English Language Arts assessment. This measure is based on student performance on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3–8 and grade 11.

2019 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Performance for All Students/Student Group					
All Students		English Learners		Foster Youth	
 Green 79.1 points above standard Declined -10.7 points 451		 No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy 8		 No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy 1	
Homeless		Socioeconomically Disadvantaged		Students with Disabilities	
 No Performance Color 0 Students		 Blue 102.5 points above standard Increased Significantly ++72.1 points 36		 No Performance Color 45 points below standard Increased ++7.7 points 31	

### 2019 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Performance by Race/Ethnicity

<b>African American</b>  No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy 5	<b>American Indian</b>  No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy 4	<b>Asian</b>  Green 122.5 points above standard Declined -14.4 points 82	<b>Filipino</b>  No Performance Color 97.1 points above standard Increased ++5.9 points 13
<b>Hispanic</b>  Green 64.7 points above standard Increased ++9.9 points 52	<b>Two or More Races</b>  No Performance Color 73.3 points above standard Declined -15 points 12	<b>Pacific Islander</b>  No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy 2	<b>White</b>  Green 68.4 points above standard Declined Significantly -17.7 points 281

This section provides a view of Student Assessment Results and other aspects of this school's performance, specifically how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the English Language Arts assessment. This measure is based on student performance on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3–8 and grade 11.

### 2019 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Data Comparisons for English Learners

<b>Current English Learner</b> Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy 3	<b>Reclassified English Learners</b> Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy 5	<b>English Only</b> 73.8 points above standard Declined -13.6 points 376
---	---	---

#### Conclusions based on this data:

1. Student results declined 10.7 points to 79.1. No Smarter Balance Summative Assessment was given in 2020 or 2021 due to school closure and hybrid learning. Assessments returned for the 2021-2022 school year.
2. While Hispanic student scores increased 9.9 points, White student scores declined 17.7 points and Asian student scores declined 14.4.
3. Socioeconomically disadvantaged student scores are now in the blue zone based on an increase of 72.1 points. Students with Disabilities scores also increased by 7.7 points, however, this score remains 45 points below standard.

# School and Student Performance Data

## Academic Performance Mathematics


Although both Senate Bill 98 and Assembly Bill 130 suspended the publication of state indicators on the 2020 and 2021 California School Dashboards, these bills also required the reporting of valid and reliable data that would have been included in these Dashboards.

To meet this requirement, CDE has made available the Enrollment, Graduation Rate Additional Report and the College/Career Measures Report data available. All other reports are not available for 2020 and 2021, thus the most recent data (2019 Fall) is provided here.






The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:



This section provides number of student groups in each color.











This section provides a view of Student Assessment Results and other aspects of this school's performance, specifically how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the Mathematics assessment. This measure is based on student performance on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3–8 and grade 11.

2019 Fall Dashboard Mathematics Performance for All Students/Student Group		
<b>All Students</b>  Blue 36.8 points above standard Maintained ++2.4 points 452	<b>English Learners</b>  No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy 8	<b>Foster Youth</b>  No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy 1
<b>Homeless</b>	<b>Socioeconomically Disadvantaged</b>  Blue 42.4 points above standard Increased Significantly ++72.6 points 36	<b>Students with Disabilities</b>  No Performance Color 117.1 points below standard Increased ++9.9 points 31



### 2019 Fall Dashboard Mathematics Performance by Race/Ethnicity

<b>African American</b>  No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy 5	<b>American Indian</b>  No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy 4	<b>Asian</b>  Green 104.9 points above standard Declined Significantly -16.6 points 81	<b>Filipino</b>  No Performance Color 48 points above standard Declined -14.1 points 13
<b>Hispanic</b>  Blue 11.8 points above standard Increased Significantly ++37.6 points 52	<b>Two or More Races</b>  No Performance Color 7.2 points above standard Declined -6.9 points 12	<b>Pacific Islander</b>  No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy 2	<b>White</b>  Green 23.7 points above standard Maintained -1.7 points 283

This section provides a view of Student Assessment Results and other aspects of this school's performance, specifically how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the Mathematics assessment. This measure is based on student performance on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3–8 and grade 11.

### 2019 Fall Dashboard Mathematics Data Comparisons for English Learners

<b>Current English Learner</b> Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy 3	<b>Reclassified English Learners</b> Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy 5	<b>English Only</b> 28 points above standard Maintained ++0.1 points 378
---	---	---

#### Conclusions based on this data:

- Overall, we maintained at 36.8 points above standard. No Smarter Balance Summative Assessment was given in 2020 or 2021 due to school closure and hybrid learning.
- Asian students remained above standard, but declined 16.6 points. White students maintained at 23.7 points above standard.
- Hispanic student scores increased 37.6 points. Socioeconomically disadvantaged student scores increased 73.6 points. Scores of students with disabilities increased 9.9 points but remained significantly below standard.

# School and Student Performance Data


## Academic Performance English Learner Progress

Although both Senate Bill 98 and Assembly Bill 130 suspended the publication of state indicators on the 2020 and 2021 California School Dashboards, these bills also required the reporting of valid and reliable data that would have been included in these Dashboards.

To meet this requirement, CDE has made available the Enrollment, Graduation Rate Additional Report and the College/Career Measures Report data available. All other reports are not available for 2020 and 2021, thus the most recent data (2019 Fall) is provided here.

This section provides a view of the percentage of current EL students making progress towards English language proficiency or maintaining the highest level.

### 2019 Fall Dashboard English Learner Progress Indicator



This section provides a view of the percentage of current EL students who progressed at least one ELPI level, maintained ELPI level 4, maintained lower ELPI levels (i.e, levels 1, 2L, 2H, 3L, or 3H), or decreased at least one ELPI Level.

### 2019 Fall Dashboard Student English Language Acquisition Results

Decreased One ELPI Level	Maintained ELPI Level 1, 2L, 2H, 3L, or 3H	Maintained ELPI Level 4	Progressed At Least One ELPI Level
33.3	41.6	8.3	16.6

#### Conclusions based on this data:

1. 16.6% of English Learners progressed at least one ELPI level.
2. 41.6% of English Learners maintained ELPI levels 1, 2L, 2H, 3L, 3H.
3. 33.3% of English Learners decreased at least one ELPI level.

# School and Student Performance Data

## Academic Performance College/Career Measures Only Report

Although both Senate Bill 98 and Assembly Bill 130 suspended the publication of state indicators on the 2020 and 2021 California School Dashboards, these bills also required the reporting of valid and reliable data that would have been included in these Dashboards.

To meet this requirement, CDE has made available the Enrollment, Graduation Rate Additional Report and the College/Career Measures Report data available. All other reports are not available for 2020 and 2021.

Number and Percentage of Students in the Combined Graduation Rate and/or Dashboard Alternative School Status (DASS) Graduation Rate by Student Group		
Student Group	Cohort Totals	Cohort Percent
All Students	442	100
African American	7	1.6
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	0.5
Asian	73	16.5
Filipino	11	2.5
Hispanic	45	10.2
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander		
White	293	66.3
Two or More Races	11	2.5
English Learners	2	0.5
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	68	15.4
Students with Disabilities	26	5.9
Foster Youth	1	0.2
Homeless	4	0.9

Advanced Placement Exams – Number and Percentage of Four-Year Graduation Rate Cohort Students		
Student Group	Cohort Totals	Cohort Percent
All Students	153	34.7
African American		
American Indian or Alaska Native		
Asian	56	76.7
Filipino	4	36.4
Hispanic	5	11.1
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander		
White	82	28.1
Two or More Races	3	27.3
English Learners		
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	9	13.4
Students with Disabilities	1	4
Foster Youth		
Homeless		

\* This table shows students in the four-year graduation rate cohort by student group who scored 3 or higher on at least two Advanced Placement exams.

International Baccalaureate Exams – Number and Percentage of Four-Year Graduation Rate Cohort		
Student Group	Cohort Totals	Cohort Percent
All Students	0	0
African American		
American Indian or Alaska Native		
Asian	0	0
Filipino	0	0
Hispanic	0	0
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander		
White	0	0
Two or More Races	0	0
English Learners		
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	0	0
Students with Disabilities	0	0
Foster Youth		
Homeless		

\* This table shows students in the four-year graduation rate cohort by student group who scored 4 or higher on at least two International Baccalaureate Exams.

Completed at Least One Career Technical Education (CTE) Pathway – Number and Percentage of All Students		
Student Group	Cohort Totals	Cohort Percent
All Students	142	32.1
African American		
American Indian or Alaska Native		
Asian	41	56.2
Filipino	7	63.6
Hispanic	9	20
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander		
White	78	26.6
Two or More Races	3	27.3
English Learners		
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	16	23.5
Students with Disabilities	4	15.4
Foster Youth		
Homeless		

\* This table shows students in the combined graduation rate and/or DASS graduation rate by student group who completed at least one CTE Pathway with a grade of C- or better (or Pass) in the capstone course.

Completed a-g Requirements – Number and Percentage of All Students		
Student Group	Cohort Totals	Cohort Percent
All Students	337	76.2
African American		
American Indian or Alaska Native		
Asian	69	94.5
Filipino	6	54.5
Hispanic	32	71.1
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander		
White	215	73.4
Two or More Races	8	72.7
English Learners		
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	45	66.2
Students with Disabilities	4	15.4
Foster Youth		
Homeless		

\* This table shows students in the combined graduation rate and/or DASS graduation rate by student group who met the University of California (UC) or California State University (CSU) a-g criteria with a grade of C or better (or Pass).

Completed a-g Requirements AND at Least One CTE Pathway – Number and Percentage of All Students		
Student Group	Cohort Totals	Cohort Percent
All Students	118	26.7
African American		
American Indian or Alaska Native		
Asian	39	53.4
Filipino	4	36.4
Hispanic	6	13.3
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander		
White	62	21.2
Two or More Races	3	27.3
English Learners		
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	12	17.6
Students with Disabilities	1	3.8
Foster Youth		
Homeless		

\* This table shows students in the combined graduation rate and/or DASS graduation rate by student group who met the UC or CSU a-g criteria with a grade of C or better (or Pass) AND completed at least one CTE Pathway with a grade of C- or better (or Pass) in the capstone course.

Completed College Credit Courses – Number and Percentage of All Student Students Completing One Semester, Two Quarters, or Two Trimesters of College Credit Courses		
Student Group	Number of Students	Percent of Students
All Students	0	0
African American		
American Indian or Alaska Native		
Asian	0	0
Filipino	0	0
Hispanic	0	0
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander		
White	0	0
Two or More Races	0	0
English Learners		
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	0	0
Students with Disabilities	0	0
Foster Youth		
Homeless		

\* This table shows students in the combined graduation rate and/or DASS graduation rate by student group who completed Academic or CTE subject college credit courses with a grade of C- or better (or Pass).

Completed College Credit Courses – Number and Percentage of All Student Students Completing Two Semesters, Three Quarters, or Three Trimesters of College Credit Courses		
Student Group	Number of Students	Percent of Students
All Students	0	0
African American		
American Indian or Alaska Native		
Asian	0	0
Filipino	0	0
Hispanic	0	0
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander		
White	0	0
Two or More Races	0	0
English Learners		
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	0	0
Students with Disabilities	0	0
Foster Youth		
Homeless		

\* This table shows students in the combined graduation rate and/or DASS graduation rate by student group who completed Academic or CTE subject college credit courses with a grade of C- or better (or Pass).

Earned the State Seal of Biliteracy – Number and Percentage of All Students		
Student Group	Cohort Totals	Cohort Percent
All Students	65	14.7
African American		
American Indian or Alaska Native		
Asian	24	32.9
Filipino	1	9.1
Hispanic	9	20
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander		
White	28	9.6
Two or More Races	2	18.2
English Learners		
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	10	14.7
Students with Disabilities	0	0
Foster Youth		
Homeless		

\* This table shows students in the combined graduation rate and/or DASS graduation rate by student group who earned the State Seal of Biliteracy.

**Conclusions based on this data:**

1. The % of students completing a-g requirements increased from 76.2% for 2020-2021 to 80% in 2021-2022.
2. Students with disabilities completing a-g requirements continue to be far less than the school average. This continues to be an area for need for our students with disabilities.
3. Students who are socioeconomically disadvantaged also lag behind in a-g completion rates compared to the school average.



# School and Student Performance Data

## Academic Engagement Chronic Absenteeism

Although both Senate Bill 98 and Assembly Bill 130 suspended the publication of state indicators on the 2020 and 2021 California School Dashboards, these bills also required the reporting of valid and reliable data that would have been included in these Dashboards.

To meet this requirement, CDE has made available the Enrollment, Graduation Rate Additional Report and the College/Career Measures Report data available. All other reports are not available for 2020 and 2021, thus the most recent data (2019 Fall) is provided here.

The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:

Lowest Performance	Red	Orange	Yellow	Green	Blue	Highest Performance
-----------------------	-----	--------	--------	-------	------	------------------------

This section provides number of student groups in each color.

### 2019 Fall Dashboard Chronic Absenteeism Equity Report

Red

Orange

Yellow

Green

Blue

This section provides information about the percentage of students in kindergarten through grade 8 who are absent 10 percent or more of the instructional days they were enrolled.

### 2019 Fall Dashboard Chronic Absenteeism for All Students/Student Group

All Students

English Learners

Foster Youth

Homeless

Socioeconomically Disadvantaged

Students with Disabilities

### 2019 Fall Dashboard Chronic Absenteeism by Race/Ethnicity

African American

American Indian

Asian

Filipino

Hispanic

Two or More Races

Pacific Islander

White

Conclusions based on this data:

1.

# School and Student Performance Data

## Academic Engagement Graduation Rate Additional Report

Although both Senate Bill 98 and Assembly Bill 130 suspended the publication of state indicators on the 2020 and 2021 California School Dashboards, these bills also required the reporting of valid and reliable data that would have been included in these Dashboards.

To meet this requirement, CDE has made available the Enrollment, Graduation Rate Additional Report and the College/Career Measures Report data available. All other reports are not available for 2020 and 2021.

2021 Graduation Rate by Student Group				
Student Group	Number of Students in the Graduation Rate	Number of Graduates	Number of Fifth Year Graduates	Graduation Rate
All Students	442	439	1	99.3
English Learners	2		0	
Foster Youth	1		0	
Homeless	4		0	
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	68	68	1	100
Students with Disabilities	26	24	1	92.3
African American	7		0	
American Indian or Alaska Native	2		0	
Asian	73	73	0	100
Filipino	11	11	0	100
Hispanic	45	44	0	97.8
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander				
White	293	291	1	99.3
Two or More Races	11	11	0	100

### Conclusions based on this data:

1. Our graduation rate decreased slightly from 98.6% in 2019 to 97.8% in 2020, but increased in 2021 to 99.3%
2. Students with disabilities graduation rates decreased from 85.7% in 2019 to 73.5% in 2020, but rebounded significantly to 92.3% in 2021.
3. Socioeconomically disadvantaged students graduation rates decreased from 97.2% in 2019 to 93.9% in 2020, but also increased to 100% in 2021.

# School and Student Performance Data

## Conditions & Climate Suspension Rate


Although both Senate Bill 98 and Assembly Bill 130 suspended the publication of state indicators on the 2020 and 2021 California School Dashboards, these bills also required the reporting of valid and reliable data that would have been included in these Dashboards.

To meet this requirement, CDE has made available the Enrollment, Graduation Rate Additional Report and the College/Career Measures Report data available. All other reports are not available for 2020 and 2021, thus the most recent data (2019 Fall) is provided here.







The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:











This section provides number of student groups in each color.



This section provides information about the percentage of students in kindergarten through grade 12 who have been suspended at least once in a given school year. Students who are suspended multiple times are only counted once.

2019 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate for All Students/Student Group		
<b>All Students</b>  Green 1.3 Declined -1.5 1927	<b>English Learners</b>  No Performance Color 0 Declined -5.3 19	<b>Foster Youth</b>  No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data Not 3
<b>Homeless</b>  No Performance Color 0 Declined -7.7 22	<b>Socioeconomically Disadvantaged</b>  Green 1.6 Declined Significantly -5.3 188	<b>Students with Disabilities</b>  Orange 6.8 Increased +0.8 148

### 2019 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate by Race/Ethnicity

<b>African American</b>  No Performance Color 0 Maintained 0 27	<b>American Indian</b>  No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data 10	<b>Asian</b>  Green 0.9 Maintained +0.2 337	<b>Filipino</b>  Blue 0 Declined -4.1 46
<b>Hispanic</b>  Green 2.6 Declined Significantly -2.9 196	<b>Two or More Races</b>  Orange 5.1 Increased +5.1 78	<b>Pacific Islander</b>  No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data 4	<b>White</b>  Blue 1.1 Declined Significantly -2 1229

This section provides a view of the percentage of students who were suspended.

### 2019 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate by Year

2017	2018	2019
	2.8	1.3

#### Conclusions based on this data:

1. Suspension rates declined 1.5% to 1.3%. As we return to more normal school operations suspensions continue to be an area of focus for our administration and PBIS teams.
2. Suspension rates for students with disabilities increased 0.8% to 6.8%.
3. Suspension rates for students identifying as two or more races increased to 5.1% suspended at least once.

# Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

## Goal Subject

All students will have access to a curriculum aligned with state standards.

## LEA/LCAP Goal

Goal 1 - All students will receive equitable instruction from highly-qualified teachers and have access to curriculum which promotes college and career readiness (State Priority 1)

1.1 - Maintain the appropriate assignment of fully credentialed teachers and provide new teacher support.

1.2 - Maintain schools in good repair

1.3 - Educators use linguistically and culturally responsive instructional strategies and materials designed to address academic content standards and the cultural, social, physical, and emotional well-being of all students

## Goal 1

Continue the development and implementation of a guaranteed and viable curriculum based on common units of instruction. Units will be built around common learning targets and formative and summative assessments measured by calibrated, shared rubrics in all synonymous courses.

## Identified Need

Common learning targets and formative and summative assessments measured by calibrated, shared rubrics in all synonymous courses will ensure equal access to high levels of learning for all students.

## Annual Measurable Outcomes

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome
Completion of scope and sequence for each course in each content area, which includes essential standards, common learning targets, common formative and summative assessments, and success criteria.	Content area teams have established essential standards and learning targets but continue to be in varied places in developing a shared scope and sequence that includes common assessments and success criteria.	All ELA, Math, Science, Social Science, and World Language courses will have common assessments aligned to learning targets with defined, clear success criteria.

Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

## Strategy/Activity 1

### Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All students

### Strategy/Activity

Professional learning and release time to support the development of common, assessments, proficiency scales, and success criteria.

### Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)	Source(s)
10,000	Other 5000-5999: Services And Other Operating Expenditures Educator Effectiveness Grant - PLCs at Work Institute & Solution Tree Consultant
8,000	Other 1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries Educator Effectiveness Grant - Release time for PLC team to work with Solution Tree Consultant
6,000	General Fund 4000-4999: Books And Supplies Site funds - Software and Professional Development Resources
5,000	Site Based Gifts and Donations 5000-5999: Services And Other Operating Expenditures Professional development

### Strategy/Activity 2

#### Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All students

#### Strategy/Activity

Professional learning and release time to support the development of intervention strategies to support high levels of learning for all students.

### Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)	Source(s)
15,000	Other 5800: Professional/Consulting Services And Operating Expenditures Solution Tree Consultant
5,000	Site Based Gifts and Donations 5000-5999: Services And Other Operating Expenditures Release time for PLC team work

**Strategy/Activity 3**

**Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity**

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

Strategy/Activity

**Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity**

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s) Source(s)

**Strategy/Activity 4**

**Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity**

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

Strategy/Activity

**Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity**

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s) Source(s)

**Strategy/Activity 5**

**Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity**

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

Strategy/Activity

**Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity**

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s) Source(s)

**Annual Review**

**SPSA Year Reviewed: 2021-22**

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required and this section may be deleted.

**ANALYSIS**

Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities and the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

We continued to make progress toward common assessments in all content areas. A team of 14 educators went to the Sacramento Solution Tree PLC Institute in July, 2022 and brought back materials and information to the staff. PLC trainings and team support will continue with our Solution Tree PD and consultant activities.

Briefly describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

None

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

As progress continues to be made, we have updated the goal to place more emphasis on aligning our assessments, success criteria, and intervention as we continue to adjust based on student needs.



# Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

## Goal Subject

Implement school-wide policies and practices that increase academic engagement and well-being for students.

## LEA/LCAP Goal

Goal 2 - Increase parent and student engagement and provide a safe, healthy, and positive school climate with an intentional focus on social emotional learning, and outcomes for LCAP student groups (State Priority 3, 5, and 6).

2.1 - Increase student attendance rates and reduce chronic absences for all students.

2.2 - Increase the high school graduation rate and decrease the dropout rate.

2.3 - Reduce student suspensions, expulsion rates, and bullying incidents.

2.4 - Increase opportunities for family engagement and parent input and the utilization of volunteers.

2.5 - Foster community partnerships that support student learning and build effective understanding and advocacy of District goals for student success and whole child wellbeing.

2.6 - Improve the efficacy and accessibility of district communications to increase two-way engagement with all stakeholders.

## Goal 2

Increase the percent of students who feel connected to, or valued by, one or more adults on campus by 10%

## Identified Need

We continue to see a significant increase in student mental health issues, such as anxiety and depression, related to academic and social pressure and social media issues. Due to the pandemic, our students were less connected to school and their peers. The data is showing a leveling off in students connections to school and we continue to have a need to ensure all students are connected to a trusted adult on campus who will support their success academically, socially, and emotionally.

## Annual Measurable Outcomes

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome
Increased Advisory participation	Use beginning of the year Advisory survey as baseline	10% increase in positive views of Advisory
Increased student connectedness	Use 2021 CHKS as baseline	10% increase in students who feel connected to caring adult
Increase parent participation	Use beginning of the year parent survey as a baseline	10% increase in parent participation

Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

## Strategy/Activity 1

### Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All

### Strategy/Activity

Staff professional learning around social-emotional learning, equity, and diversity.

### Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)	Source(s)
10000	Other 5000-5999: Services And Other Operating Expenditures Educators Effectiveness Grant - Solution Tree coaching & SEL/Equity focused professional development
4,000	Donations 1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries Release days for PLC Leaders to support equity work and professional development
2,000	Parent-Teacher Association (PTA) 4000-4999: Books And Supplies Support for Advisory and SUP Crew
3,000	General Fund 4000-4999: Books And Supplies Culturally Responsive Teaching Book Study
2,000	Site Based Gifts and Donations 4000-4999: Books And Supplies SEL Advisory activities

### Strategy/Activity 2

#### Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All

### Strategy/Activity

Continue the work of the PBIS team to improve students' well-being and engagement with learning.

### Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)	Source(s)
3000	General Fund 5000-5999: Services And Other Operating Expenditures

PBIS and SEL workshops/services.

### Strategy/Activity 3

#### Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All

#### Strategy/Activity

Parent education and outreach through newsletters, parent education nights, and providing additional resources.

#### Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

##### Amount(s)

2000

##### Source(s)

General Fund  
1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries  
Release time for staff to develop and implement parent summits and surveys to gather feedback.

5000

General Fund  
4000-4999: Books And Supplies  
Resources and materials for parent education.

## Annual Review

### SPSA Year Reviewed: 2021-22

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required and this section may be deleted.

## ANALYSIS

Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities and the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

While the goal remains the same to increase students connectedness to adults on campus, the strategies/activities have been modified to ensure staff have the proper tools to support the social-emotional needs of students and to include the involvement of more educational partners input from students and families.

Briefly describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

We have budgeted expenditures for SEL activities for Advisory and increased professional development and educational partner involvement in our SEL and equity work.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

Last year we continued our work on culturally responsive teaching and the strategies/activities has been adjusted to continue this work through staff professional development and educational partner engagement.

# Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

## Goal Subject

Increase the number of students who are college and career ready.

## LEA/LCAP Goal

Goal 3 - Provide all students with high quality classroom instruction and access to a broad course of study (State Priority 2, 4, and 7)

3.1 - Provide Social Emotional Learning (SEL) and culturally relevant professional development on instructional strategies and essential standards.

3.2 - Through a collaborative process, complete the work on K - 12 Guaranteed and Viable Curriculum with Set Essential Standards.

3.3 - Ensure all teachers/students have access to research-based EL instructional strategies to improve achievement.

3.4 - Provide access to A-G, Career Technical Education (CTE), IB, Advanced Placement (AP), and Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) courses to ensure students are college and career ready.

## Goal 3

Increase the percent of students completing A-G and CTE pathway/courses.

## Identified Need

While we continue to experience growth in this area, we need continue to increase the percentage of students who enroll and succeed in courses needed for A-G completion and CTE courses/pathways.

## Annual Measurable Outcomes

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome
Increase A-G completers by 2%	2017-2018 63% of total 12th grade enrollment	We met our goal with 76.2% of 2021 graduating students completing A-G requirements. Our goal is to improve to over 80% of students meeting A-G requirements.
Increase CTE pathway completers by 2%	2018-2019 21.1% of total 12th grade enrollment completed a pathway and 2020 increased to 30.4%	We met our goal of 32.1% of 2021 graduating students completing a pathway. Our goal is for greater than 35% of students completing a CTE pathway.

Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

## Strategy/Activity 1

### Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All students

#### Strategy/Activity

Use FlexTime to support students and increase achievement in A-G courses. The Intervention Coordinator will oversee FlexTime and quarterly whole-school data conversations with a focus on student progress in A-G courses.  
Advisors will be trained in the importance of college career readiness and the different factors that support student success.

#### Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)

6,000

Source(s)

Other  
5000-5999: Services And Other Operating Expenditures  
Educator Effectiveness Grant -Solution Tree PLCs at Work & RTI

1,000

Title II Part A: Improving Teacher Quality  
1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries  
Release time for teachers to participate in Every Child By Name meetings

#### Strategy/Activity 2

##### Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All students

#### Strategy/Activity

Educate students and families about CTE pathways and increase student enrollment in these programs.

#### Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)

3,000

Source(s)

General Fund  
1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries  
Release time for teacher professional development/collaboration

1,000

Site Based Gifts and Donations  
5000-5999: Services And Other Operating Expenditures

	Career Speaker Series through Advisory and FlexTime
1,000	General Fund 5900: Communications Promotional Materials for CTE
5,000	General Fund 2000-2999: Classified Personnel Salaries Classified staff support for college and career readiness

### Strategy/Activity 3

#### Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All students

#### Strategy/Activity

Research CTE programs that may benefit the Vista community and students.

#### Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)	Source(s)
2000	General Fund 1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries Release time for teachers to visit programs and explore CTE options

## Annual Review

### SPSA Year Reviewed: 2021-22

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required and this section may be deleted.

## ANALYSIS

Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities and the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

We have succeeded in continuing to increase our A-G completers from 76.8% to 80% last year. This is in large part due to a change in science course sequencing and our continued use of Flextime and Intervention. CTE enrollment continues to increase in Computer Science pathways. There continues to be a decrease in Photo and Animation pathway enrollment. Our head counselor continues to review site A-G data to identify barriers and share this information with admin and staff.

Briefly describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

None

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

The site will continue to review enrollment in current CTE programs and adjust offerings that may better support the needs of the students and community.



# Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

## Goal Subject

Common assessments and targeted intervention will provide support toward content mastery.

## LEA/LCAP Goal

Goal 4 - Student progress and educational outcomes will be monitored to increase and improve success with an emphasis on historically marginalized and most vulnerable student populations (State Priority 4 and 8)

4.1 - Ensure students are reading at grade level (1st, 3rd, 5th, 8th, 11th grades).

4.2 - Ensure students are meeting grade level standards in math (1st, 3rd, 5th, 8th, and 11th grades).

4.3 - Ensure English Learners make grade level progress through access to grade level curriculum and quality first instruction.

4.4 - Ensure Special Education students make grade level progress through access to grade level curriculum and quality first instruction.

4.5 - Improve Kindergarten readiness as measured by curriculum embedded assessment.

4.6 - Graduation rate focus; monitor yearly high school progress to ensure all students make annual progress toward graduating within their 4-year cohort.

## Goal 4

Increase the number of students achieving at or above grade level standards in ELA and Math by 5%.

## Identified Need

While the majority of our students are achieving at high levels, we still have approximately 15% of our students scoring below grade level in ELA and 27% of our students scoring below grade level in Math. All means 100%.

## Annual Measurable Outcomes

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome
Increase overall ELA SBAC scores by 2%	79.1% above standard in 2019. 2021-22 was the return of SBAC with 76% meeting or exceeding standards.	For 2022-23, over 78% of students will meet or exceed standards in ELA
Increase overall Math SBAC scores by 4%	68.9 % above standard in 2019. 2021-22 was the return of SBAC with 56% meeting or exceeding standards.	For 2022-23, over 60% of students will meet or exceed standards in Math

Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

## Strategy/Activity 1

### Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All

### Strategy/Activity

Common formative assessments and a data analysis protocol will drive learning and intervention efforts. Mild/Mod Special Ed teachers will meet regularly with ELA, Math and Science teachers and participate in those PLC teams.

### Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

#### Amount(s)

10,000

#### Source(s)

Other  
1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries  
Educator Effectiveness Grant--Release time for collaboration and professional development, training for PLC Team leaders

5,000

Other  
1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries  
Educator Effectiveness Grant--Intervention planning for ELA and Math Teachers

### Strategy/Activity 2

#### Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All

### Strategy/Activity

Intervention Coordinator and Professional Development and training in effective Response to Intervention strategies  
Writing Labs and Flextime Writing Workshops  
Administration of CAASPP practice tests

### Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

#### Amount(s)

5,000

#### Source(s)

General Fund  
5000-5999: Services And Other Operating Expenditures  
Turnitin

10,000

Other  
5000-5999: Services And Other Operating Expenditures

	Educator Effectiveness Grant--Professional development for ELA, Math and Science Teachers--Mike Mattos, Rtl Solution Tree
2,550	Title III 5000-5999: Services And Other Operating Expenditures FlexiSched software/program

## Annual Review

### SPSA Year Reviewed: 2021-22

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required and this section may be deleted.

## ANALYSIS

Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities and the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

We have successfully implemented a process for providing Tier 1 and Tier 2 intervention and support to students through FlexTime. As a result, we have decreased Ds/Fs significantly. The return of SBAC in 2022 allows for an opportunity to establish a new baseline. In the 2021-22 school year 76% of students met or exceeded standards in ELA and 56% met or exceeded standards in Math.

Briefly describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

None

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

The growth metric was adjusted to more closely align with the 2021-22 SBAC results.

# Budget Summary

Complete the table below. Schools may include additional information. Adjust the table as needed. The Budget Summary is required for schools funded through the ConApp, and/or that receive funds from the LEA for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI).

## Budget Summary

Description	Amount
Total Funds Provided to the School Through the Consolidated Application	\$
Total Federal Funds Provided to the School from the LEA for CSI	\$
Total Funds Budgeted for Strategies to Meet the Goals in the SPSA	\$131,550.00

## Other Federal, State, and Local Funds

List the additional Federal programs that the school is including in the schoolwide program. Adjust the table as needed. If the school is not operating a Title I schoolwide program this section is not applicable and may be deleted.

Federal Programs	Allocation (\$)
Title II Part A: Improving Teacher Quality	\$1,000.00
Title III	\$2,550.00

Subtotal of additional federal funds included for this school: \$3,550.00

List the State and local programs that the school is including in the schoolwide program. Duplicate the table as needed.

State or Local Programs	Allocation (\$)
Donations	\$4,000.00
General Fund	\$35,000.00
Other	\$74,000.00
Parent-Teacher Association (PTA)	\$2,000.00
Site Based Gifts and Donations	\$13,000.00

Subtotal of state or local funds included for this school: \$128,000.00

Total of federal, state, and/or local funds for this school: \$131,550.00

# Budgeted Funds and Expenditures in this Plan

The tables below are provided to help the school track expenditures as they relate to funds budgeted to the school.

## Funds Budgeted to the School by Funding Source

Funding Source	Amount	Balance
LCFF - Supplemental	54,129	54,129.00

## Expenditures by Funding Source

Funding Source	Amount
Donations	4,000.00
General Fund	35,000.00
Other	74,000.00
Parent-Teacher Association (PTA)	2,000.00
Site Based Gifts and Donations	13,000.00
Title II Part A: Improving Teacher Quality	1,000.00
Title III	2,550.00

## Expenditures by Budget Reference

Budget Reference	Amount
1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries	35,000.00
2000-2999: Classified Personnel Salaries	5,000.00
4000-4999: Books And Supplies	18,000.00
5000-5999: Services And Other Operating Expenditures	57,550.00
5800: Professional/Consulting Services And Operating Expenditures	15,000.00
5900: Communications	1,000.00

## Expenditures by Budget Reference and Funding Source

Budget Reference	Funding Source	Amount
------------------	----------------	--------

1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries	Donations	4,000.00
1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries	General Fund	7,000.00
2000-2999: Classified Personnel Salaries	General Fund	5,000.00
4000-4999: Books And Supplies	General Fund	14,000.00
5000-5999: Services And Other Operating Expenditures	General Fund	8,000.00
5900: Communications	General Fund	1,000.00
1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries	Other	23,000.00
5000-5999: Services And Other Operating Expenditures	Other	36,000.00
5800: Professional/Consulting Services And Operating Expenditures	Other	15,000.00
4000-4999: Books And Supplies	Parent-Teacher Association (PTA)	2,000.00
4000-4999: Books And Supplies	Site Based Gifts and Donations	2,000.00
5000-5999: Services And Other Operating Expenditures	Site Based Gifts and Donations	11,000.00
1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries	Title II Part A: Improving Teacher Quality	1,000.00
5000-5999: Services And Other Operating Expenditures	Title III	2,550.00

## Expenditures by Goal

Goal Number	Total Expenditures
Goal 1	49,000.00
Goal 2	31,000.00
Goal 3	19,000.00
Goal 4	32,550.00

# School Site Council Membership

California Education Code describes the required composition of the School Site Council (SSC). The SSC shall be composed of the principal and representatives of: teachers selected by teachers at the school; other school personnel selected by other school personnel at the school; parents of pupils attending the school selected by such parents; and, in secondary schools, pupils selected by pupils attending the school. The current make-up of the SSC is as follows:

- 1 School Principal
- 2 Classroom Teachers
- 2 Other School Staff
- 3 Parent or Community Members
- 2 Secondary Students

Name of Members	Role
Kimberly Moore, Principal	Principal
Jeanine Holton, Assistant Principal	Other School Staff
Kumari Rashmi	Parent or Community Member
Marci Madore	Other School Staff
Crystal Grooms	Other School Staff
Miranda McClurg	Classroom Teacher
Kendra Blake	Parent or Community Member
Anu Busani	Parent or Community Member
Sophia Perez	Secondary Student
Aleena Saji	Secondary Student
Elicia Masztal	Classroom Teacher

At elementary schools, the school site council must be constituted to ensure parity between (a) the principal, classroom teachers, and other school personnel, and (b) parents of students attending the school or other community members. Classroom teachers must comprise a majority of persons represented under section (a). At secondary schools there must be, in addition, equal numbers of parents or other community members selected by parents, and students. Members must be selected by their peer group.

# English Learner Advisory Committee (ELAC)

A committee comprised of parents, staff, and community members specifically designated to advise school officials on English Learner program services. Education Code Section 35147 (c), 52176 (b), and (c), 62002.5, and 64001 (a). The current make-up of the ELAC is as follows:

Name of ELAC Members	Role
Parents of English learners must comprise the same percentage of the ELAC membership as English learners constitute of the school's total student population. Example, if 25% of the students in a school are English learners, then parent/guardians of English learners must comprise 25% of the ELAC membership. Other members can be parent/guardians, school staff, and/or community members as long as the minimum percentage requirement for EL parents is maintained.	
Each California public school, grades kindergarten through 12, with 21 or more English learners must form an ELAC.	
<div>1. The ELAC shall be responsible for advising the principal and staff on programs and services for English learners and the School Site Council on the development of the School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA)</div> <div>2. The ELAC shall assist the school in the development of:</div> <div><div>a. The school's needs assessment.</div><div>b. The school's annual language census.</div><div>c. Ways to make parents aware of the importance of regular school attendance.</div></div>	





# Recommendations and Assurances

The School Site Council (SSC) recommends this school plan and proposed expenditures to the district governing board for approval and assures the board of the following:

The SSC is correctly constituted and was formed in accordance with district governing board policy and state law.

The SSC reviewed its responsibilities under state law and district governing board policies, including those board policies relating to material changes in the School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) requiring board approval.

The SSC sought and considered all recommendations from the following groups or committees before adopting this plan:



Signature	Committee or Advisory Group Name
	Special Education Advisory Committee
	Gifted and Talented Education Program Advisory Committee
	Departmental Advisory Committee

The SSC reviewed the content requirements for school plans of programs included in this SPSA and believes all such content requirements have been met, including those found in district governing board policies and in the local educational agency plan.

This SPSA is based on a thorough analysis of student academic performance. The actions proposed herein form a sound, comprehensive, coordinated plan to reach stated school goals to improve student academic performance.

This SPSA was adopted by the SSC at a public meeting on September 14, 2022.

Attested:

	Principal, Kimberly Moore on 9/14/2022
	SSC Chairperson, Jeanine Holton on 9/14/2022

# Instructions

The School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) is a strategic plan that maximizes the resources available to the school while minimizing duplication of effort with the ultimate goal of increasing student achievement. SPSA development should be aligned with and inform the Local Control and Accountability Plan process.

The SPSA consolidates all school-level planning efforts into one plan for programs funded through the consolidated application (ConApp), and for federal school improvement programs, including schoolwide programs, Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI), Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI), and Additional Targeted Support and Improvement (ATSI), pursuant to California Education Code (EC) Section 64001 and the Elementary and Secondary Education Act as amended by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA). This template is designed to meet schoolwide program planning requirements. It also notes how to meet CSI, TSI, or ATSI requirements, as applicable.

California's ESSA State Plan supports the state's approach to improving student group performance through the utilization of federal resources. Schools use the SPSA to document their approach to maximizing the impact of federal investments in support of underserved students. The implementation of ESSA in California presents an opportunity for schools to innovate with their federally-funded programs and align them with the priority goals of the school and the LEA that are being realized under the state's Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF).

The LCFF provides schools and LEAs flexibility to design programs and provide services that meet the needs of students in order to achieve readiness for college, career, and lifelong learning. The SPSA planning process supports continuous cycles of action, reflection, and improvement. Consistent with EC 65001, the Schoolsite Council (SSC) is required to develop and annually review the SPSA, establish an annual budget, and make modifications to the plan that reflect changing needs and priorities, as applicable.

For questions related to specific sections of the template, please see instructions below:

## Instructions: Linked Table of Contents

**The SPSA template meets the requirements of schoolwide planning (SWP). Each section also contains a notation of how to meet CSI, TSI, or ATSI requirements.**

[Educational Partner Involvement](#)

[Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures](#)

[Planned Strategies/Activities](#)

[Annual Review and Update](#)

[Budget Summary](#)

[Appendix A: Plan Requirements for Title I Schoolwide Programs](#)

[Appendix B: Plan Requirements for Schools to Meet Federal School Improvement Planning Requirements](#)

[Appendix C: Select State and Federal Programs](#)

For additional questions or technical assistance related to LEA and school planning, please contact the Local Agency Systems Support Office, at [LCFF@cde.ca.gov](mailto:LCFF@cde.ca.gov).

For programmatic or policy questions regarding Title I schoolwide planning, please contact the local educational agency, or the CDE's Title I Policy and Program Guidance Office at [TITLEI@cde.ca.gov](mailto:TITLEI@cde.ca.gov).

For questions or technical assistance related to meeting federal school improvement planning requirements (for CSI, TSI, and ATSI), please contact the CDE's School Improvement and Support Office at [SISO@cde.ca.gov](mailto:SISO@cde.ca.gov).

## Purpose and Description

Schools identified for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI), Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI), or Additional Targeted Support and Improvement (ATSI) must respond to the following prompts. A school that has not been identified for CSI, TSI, or ATSI may delete the Purpose and Description prompts.

### Purpose

Briefly describe the purpose of this plan by selecting from Schoolwide Program, Comprehensive Support and Improvement, Targeted Support and Improvement, or Additional Targeted Support and Improvement)

### Description

Briefly describe the school's plan for effectively meeting ESSA requirements in alignment with the Local Control and Accountability Plan and other federal, state, and local programs.

## Educational Partner Involvement

Meaningful involvement of parents, students, and other stakeholders is critical to the development of the SPSA and the budget process. Schools must share the SPSA with school site-level advisory groups, as applicable (e.g., English Learner Advisory committee, student advisory groups, tribes and tribal organizations present in the community, as appropriate, etc.) and seek input from these advisory groups in the development of the SPSA.

The Stakeholder Engagement process is an ongoing, annual process. Describe the process used to involve advisory committees, parents, students, school faculty and staff, and the community in the development of the SPSA and the annual review and update.

*[This section meets the requirements for TSI and ATSI.]*

*[When completing this section for CSI, the LEA shall partner with the school in the development and implementation of this plan.]*

## Resource Inequities

Schools eligible for CSI or ATSI must identify resource inequities, which may include a review of LEA- and school-level budgeting as a part of the required needs assessment. Identified resource inequities must be addressed through implementation of the CSI or ATSI plan. Briefly identify and describe any resource inequities identified as a result of the required needs assessment and summarize how the identified resource inequities are addressed in the SPSA.

*[This section meets the requirements for CSI and ATSI. If the school is not identified for CSI or ATSI this section is not applicable and may be deleted.]*

# Goals, Strategies, Expenditures, & Annual Review

In this section a school provides a description of the annual goals to be achieved by the school. This section also includes descriptions of the specific planned strategies/activities a school will take to meet the identified goals, and a description of the expenditures required to implement the specific strategies and activities.

## Goal

State the goal. A goal is a broad statement that describes the desired result to which all strategies/activities are directed. A goal answers the question: What is the school seeking to achieve?

It can be helpful to use a framework for writing goals such as the S.M.A.R.T. approach. A S.M.A.R.T. goal is one that is **Specific**, **Measurable**, **Achievable**, **Realistic**, and **Time-bound**. A level of specificity is needed in order to measure performance relative to the goal as well as to assess whether it is reasonably achievable. Including time constraints, such as milestone dates, ensures a realistic approach that supports student success.

A school may number the goals using the “Goal #” for ease of reference.

*[When completing this section for CSI, TSI, and ATSI, improvement goals shall align to the goals, actions, and services in the LEA LCAP.]*

## Identified Need

Describe the basis for establishing the goal. The goal should be based upon an analysis of verifiable state data, including local and state indicator data from the California School Dashboard (Dashboard) and data from the School Accountability Report Card, including local data voluntarily collected by districts to measure pupil achievement.

*[Completing this section fully addresses all relevant federal planning requirements]*

## Annual Measurable Outcomes

Identify the metric(s) and/or state indicator(s) that the school will use as a means of evaluating progress toward accomplishing the goal. A school may identify metrics for specific student groups. Include in the baseline column the most recent data associated with the metric or indicator available at the time of adoption of the SPSA. The most recent data associated with a metric or indicator includes data reported in the annual update of the SPSA. In the subsequent Expected Outcome column, identify the progress the school intends to make in the coming year.

*[When completing this section for CSI the school must include school-level metrics related to the metrics that led to the school’s identification.]*

*[When completing this section for TSI/ATSI the school must include metrics related to the specific student group(s) that led to the school’s identification.]*

## Strategies/Activities

Describe the strategies and activities being provided to meet the described goal. A school may number the strategy/activity using the “Strategy/Activity #” for ease of reference.

Planned strategies/activities address the findings of the needs assessment consistent with state priorities and resource inequities, which may have been identified through a review of the local educational agency's budgeting, its local control and accountability plan, and school-level budgeting, if applicable.

*[When completing this section for CSI, TSI, and ATSI, this plan shall include evidence-based interventions and align to the goals, actions, and services in the LEA LCAP.]*

*[When completing this section for CSI and ATSI, this plan shall address through implementation, identified resource inequities, which may have been identified through a review of LEA- and school-level budgeting.]*

## **Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity**

Indicate in this box which students will benefit from the strategies/activities by indicating "All Students" or listing one or more specific student group(s) to be served.

*[This section meets the requirements for CSI.]*

*[When completing this section for TSI and ATSI, at a minimum, the student groups to be served shall include the student groups that are consistently underperforming, for which the school received the TSI or ATSI designation. For TSI, a school may focus on all students or the student group(s) that led to identification based on the evidence-based interventions selected.]*

## **Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity**

For each strategy/activity, list the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures for the school year to implement these strategies/activities. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal, identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Proposed expenditures that are included more than once in a SPSA should be indicated as a duplicated expenditure and include a reference to the goal and strategy/activity where the expenditure first appears in the SPSA. Pursuant to Education Code, Section 64001(g)(3)(C), proposed expenditures, based on the projected resource allocation from the governing board or governing body of the LEA, to address the findings of the needs assessment consistent with the state priorities including identifying resource inequities which may include a review of the LEA's budgeting, its LCAP, and school-level budgeting, if applicable.

*[This section meets the requirements for CSI, TSI, and ATSI.]*

*[NOTE: Federal funds for CSI shall not be used in schools identified for TSI or ATSI. In addition, funds for CSI shall not be used to hire additional permanent staff.]*

## **Annual Review**

In the following Analysis prompts, identify any material differences between what was planned and what actually occurred as well as significant changes in strategies/activities and/ or expenditures from the prior year. This annual review and analysis should be the basis for decision-making and updates to the plan.

## Analysis

Using actual outcome data, including state indicator data from the Dashboard, analyze whether the planned strategies/activities were effective in achieving the goal. Respond to the prompts as instructed. Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal the Annual Review section is not required and this section may be deleted.

- Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities and the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.
- Briefly describe any major differences between either/or the intended implementation or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.
- Describe any changes that will be made to the goal, expected annual measurable outcomes, metrics/indicators, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis and analysis of the data provided in the Dashboard, as applicable. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

*[When completing this section for CSI, TSI, or ATSI, any changes made to the goals, annual measurable outcomes, metrics/indicators, or strategies/activities, shall meet the CSI, TSI, or ATSI planning requirements. CSI, TSI, and ATSI planning requirements are listed under each section of the Instructions. For example, as a result of the Annual Review and Update, if changes are made to a goal(s), see the Goal section for CSI, TSI, and ATSI planning requirements.]*

## Budget Summary

In this section a school provides a brief summary of the funding allocated to the school through the ConApp and/or other funding sources as well as the total amount of funds for proposed expenditures described in the SPSA. The Budget Summary is required for schools funded through the ConApp and that receive federal funds for CSI. If the school is not operating a Title I schoolwide program this section is not applicable and may be deleted.

*From its total allocation for CSI, the LEA may distribute funds across its schools that meet the criteria for CSI to support implementation of this plan. In addition, the LEA may retain a portion of its total allocation to support LEA-level expenditures that are directly related to serving schools eligible for CSI.*

## Budget Summary

A school receiving funds allocated through the ConApp should complete the Budget Summary as follows:

- **Total Funds Provided to the School Through the Consolidated Application:** This amount is the total amount of funding provided to the school through the ConApp for the school year. The school year means the fiscal year for which a SPSA is adopted or updated.
- **Total Funds Budgeted for Strategies to Meet the Goals in the SPSA:** This amount is the total of the proposed expenditures from all sources of funds associated with the strategies/activities reflected in the SPSA. To the extent strategies/activities and/or proposed expenditures are listed in the SPSA under more than one goal, the expenditures should be counted only once.

A school receiving federal funds for CSI should complete the Budget Summary as follows:

- Total Federal Funds Provided to the School from the LEA for CSI: This amount is the total amount of funding provided to the school from the LEA.

*[NOTE: Federal funds for CSI shall not be used in schools eligible for TSI or ATSI. In addition, funds for CSI shall not be used to hire additional permanent staff.]*

# Appendix A: Plan Requirements

## Schoolwide Program Requirements

This School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) template meets the requirements of a schoolwide program plan. The requirements below are for planning reference.

A school that operates a schoolwide program and receives funds allocated through the ConApp is required to develop a SPSA. The SPSA, including proposed expenditures of funds allocated to the school through the ConApp, must be reviewed annually and updated by the SSC. The content of a SPSA must be aligned with school goals for improving student achievement.

### Requirements for Development of the Plan

- I. The development of the SPSA shall include both of the following actions:
  - A. Administration of a comprehensive needs assessment that forms the basis of the school's goals contained in the SPSA.
    1. The comprehensive needs assessment of the entire school shall:
      - a. Include an analysis of verifiable state data, consistent with all state priorities as noted in Sections 52060 and 52066, and informed by all indicators described in Section 1111(c)(4)(B) of the federal Every Student Succeeds Act, including pupil performance against state-determined long-term goals. The school may include data voluntarily developed by districts to measure pupil outcomes (described in the Identified Need); and
      - b. Be based on academic achievement information about all students in the school, including all groups under §200.13(b)(7) and migratory children as defined in section 1309(2) of the ESEA, relative to the State's academic standards under §200.1 to—
        - i. Help the school understand the subjects and skills for which teaching and learning need to be improved; and
        - ii. Identify the specific academic needs of students and groups of students who are not yet achieving the State's academic standards; and
        - iii. Assess the needs of the school relative to each of the components of the schoolwide program under §200.28.
        - iv. Develop the comprehensive needs assessment with the participation of individuals who will carry out the schoolwide program plan.
        - v. Document how it conducted the needs assessment, the results it obtained, and the conclusions it drew from those results.
  - B. Identification of the process for evaluating and monitoring the implementation of the SPSA and progress towards accomplishing the goals set forth in the SPSA (described in the Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes and Annual Review and Update).

### Requirements for the Plan

- II. The SPSA shall include the following:
  - A. Goals set to improve pupil outcomes, including addressing the needs of student groups as identified through the needs assessment.



- B. Evidence-based strategies, actions, or services (described in Strategies and Activities)
1. A description of the strategies that the school will be implementing to address school needs, including a description of how such strategies will--
    - a. provide opportunities for all children including each of the subgroups of students to meet the challenging state academic standards
    - b. use methods and instructional strategies that:
      - i. strengthen the academic program in the school,
      - ii. increase the amount and quality of learning time, and
      - iii. provide an enriched and accelerated curriculum, which may include programs, activities, and courses necessary to provide a well-rounded education.
    - c. Address the needs of all children in the school, but particularly the needs of those at risk of not meeting the challenging State academic standards, so that all students demonstrate at least proficiency on the State's academic standards through activities which may include:
      - i. strategies to improve students' skills outside the academic subject areas;
      - ii. preparation for and awareness of opportunities for postsecondary education and the workforce;
      - iii. implementation of a schoolwide tiered model to prevent and address problem behavior;
      - iv. professional development and other activities for teachers, paraprofessionals, and other school personnel to improve instruction and use of data; and
      - v. strategies for assisting preschool children in the transition from early childhood education programs to local elementary school programs.
- C. Proposed expenditures, based on the projected resource allocation from the governing board or body of the local educational agency (may include funds allocated via the ConApp, federal funds for CSI, any other state or local funds allocated to the school), to address the findings of the needs assessment consistent with the state priorities, including identifying resource inequities, which may include a review of the LEAs budgeting, it's LCAP, and school-level budgeting, if applicable (described in Proposed Expenditures and Budget Summary). Employees of the schoolwide program may be deemed funded by a single cost objective.
- D. A description of how the school will determine if school needs have been met (described in the Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes and the Annual Review and Update).
1. Annually evaluate the implementation of, and results achieved by, the schoolwide program, using data from the State's annual assessments and other indicators of academic achievement;
  2. Determine whether the schoolwide program has been effective in increasing the achievement of students in meeting the State's academic standards, particularly for those students who had been furthest from achieving the standards; and
  3. Revise the plan, as necessary, based on the results of the evaluation, to ensure continuous improvement of students in the schoolwide program.

- E. A description of how the school will ensure parental involvement in the planning, review, and improvement of the schoolwide program plan (described in Educational Partner Involvement and/or Strategies/Activities).
- F. A description of the activities the school will include to ensure that students who experience difficulty attaining proficient or advanced levels of academic achievement standards will be provided with effective, timely additional support, including measures to
  - 1. Ensure that those students' difficulties are identified on a timely basis; and
  - 2. Provide sufficient information on which to base effective assistance to those students.
- G. For an elementary school, a description of how the school will assist preschool students in the successful transition from early childhood programs to the school.
- H. A description of how the school will use resources to carry out these components (described in the Proposed Expenditures for Strategies/Activities).
- I. A description of any other activities and objectives as established by the SSC (described in the Strategies/Activities).

Authority Cited: S Title 34 of the Code of Federal Regulations (34 CFR), sections 200.25-26, and 200.29, and sections-1114(b)(7)(A)(i)-(iii) and 1118(b) of the ESEA. EC sections 6400 et. seq.

# Appendix B:

## Plan Requirements for School to Meet Federal School Improvement Planning Requirements

For questions or technical assistance related to meeting Federal School Improvement Planning Requirements, please contact the CDE's School Improvement and Support Office at [SISO@cde.ca.gov](mailto:SISO@cde.ca.gov).

### Comprehensive Support and Improvement

The LEA shall partner with stakeholders (including principals and other school leaders, teachers, and parents) to locally develop and implement the CSI plan for the school to improve student outcomes, and specifically address the metrics that led to eligibility for CSI (Educational Partner Involvement).

The CSI plan shall:

1. Be informed by all state indicators, including student performance against state-determined long-term goals (Goal, Identified Need, Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes, Annual Review and Update, as applicable);
2. Include evidence-based interventions (Strategies/Activities, Annual Review and Update, as applicable) (For resources related to evidence-based interventions, see the U.S. Department of Education's "Using Evidence to Strengthen Education Investments" at <https://www2.ed.gov/policy/elsec/leg/essa/guidanceusesinvestment.pdf>);
3. Be based on a school-level needs assessment (Goal, Identified Need, Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes, Annual Review and Update, as applicable); and
4. Identify resource inequities, which may include a review of LEA- and school-level budgeting, to be addressed through implementation of the CSI plan (Goal, Identified Need, Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes, Planned Strategies/Activities; and Annual Review and Update, as applicable).

Authority Cited: Sections 1003(e)(1)(A), 1003(i), 1111(c)(4)(B), and 1111(d)(1) of the ESSA.

### Targeted Support and Improvement

In partnership with stakeholders (including principals and other school leaders, teachers, and parents) the school shall develop and implement a school-level TSI plan to improve student outcomes for each subgroup of students that was the subject of identification (Educational Partner Involvement).

The TSI plan shall:

1. Be informed by all state indicators, including student performance against state-determined long-term goals (Goal, Identified Need, Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes, Annual Review and Update, as applicable); and
2. Include evidence-based interventions (Planned Strategies/Activities, Annual Review and Update, as applicable). (For resources related to evidence-based interventions, see the U.S. Department of Education's "Using Evidence to Strengthen Education Investments" <https://www2.ed.gov/policy/elsec/leg/essa/guidanceusesinvestment.pdf>.)

Authority Cited: Sections 1003(e)(1)(B), 1003(i), 1111(c)(4)(B) and 1111(d)(2) of the ESSA.

## **Additional Targeted Support and Improvement**

A school identified for ATSI shall:

1. Identify resource inequities, which may include a review of LEA- and school-level budgeting, which will be addressed through implementation of its TSI plan (Goal, Identified Need, Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes, Planned Strategies/Activities, and Annual Review and Update, as applicable).

Authority Cited: Sections 1003(e)(1)(B), 1003(i), 1111(c)(4)(B), and 1111(d)(2)(c) of the ESSA.

## **Single School Districts and Charter Schools Identified for School Improvement**

Single school districts (SSDs) or charter schools that are identified for CSI, TSI, or ATSI, shall develop a SPSA that addresses the applicable requirements above as a condition of receiving funds (EC Section 64001[a] as amended by Assembly Bill [AB] 716, effective January 1, 2019).

However, a SSD or a charter school may streamline the process by combining state and federal requirements into one document which may include the local control and accountability plan (LCAP) and all federal planning requirements, provided that the combined plan is able to demonstrate that the legal requirements for each of the plans is met (EC Section 52062[a] as amended by AB 716, effective January 1, 2019).

Planning requirements for single school districts and charter schools choosing to exercise this option are available in the LCAP Instructions.

Authority Cited: EC sections 52062(a) and 64001(a), both as amended by AB 716, effective January 1, 2019.

## Appendix C: Select State and Federal Programs

**For a list of active programs, please see the following links:**

Programs included on the Consolidated Application: <https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/co/>











ESSA Title I, Part A: School Improvement: <https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/sw/t1/schoolsupport.asp>

Available Funding: <https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/fo/af/>

Developed by the California Department of Education, January 2019

# School Site Council Meeting, 9/14/22

2022-2023 School Year

Name	Title	Signature	Date
Kimberly Moore	Principal		9/14/22
Jeanine Holton	Assistant Principal		9/14/22
Crystal Grooms	Assistant Principal		9/14/22
Elicia Masztal	Teachers Association Representative		9/14/22
Brian Schaubmayer	Teachers Association Representative		
Miranda McClurg	Teachers Association Representative		9/14/22
Marci Madore	Classified Association Representative		9/14/22
Kumari Rashmi	Classified Association Representative	Kumari Rashmi	9/14/22
Anu Busani	Parent Representative		9/14/22
Kendra Blake	Parent Representative		9/14/22
Sophia Perez	Student Representative		9/14/22
Aleena Saji	Student Representative		9/14/22

Vista del lagoon High School  
1970 Broadstone Pkwy. Folsom, CA  
916.294.2410

Vista del Lago High School

Site Council Meeting

Wednesday, 9/14/22

Minutes

Members Present: Kim Moore, Crystal Grooms, Jeanine Holton, Marci Madore, Kumari Rashmi, Miranda, McClurg, Elicia Masztal, Aleena Saji, Sophia Perez, Kendra Blake, Anu Busani

Meeting began at 3:30 PM

1. Welcome & Introductions, Purpose of the SSC—Jeanine Holton
  - a. Quick overview of the purpose of SSC was shared. All members were introduced.
  - b. Attendance taken. All members were able to sign in on form.
2. Presentation of Single Plan for Student Achievement—Kimberly Moore
  - a. Overview & discussion of SPSA was given by Kim Moore. All goals were shared and discussed in depth.
  - b. Feedback was given by stakeholders and questions were answered.
    - i. Comment made that it would be helpful to send out a video on what A-G means to incoming freshmen parents before they get to high school so that they can help their student better plan their schedule. Counseling department may be able to push more information out through the Weekly blast.
    - ii. Students requested bringing back the Career Planning Class (SAT Prep) to support better understanding of the college prep process.
    - iii. Concern that not enough languages are offered at Vista and students and families would like more opportunities either at school or through FLC dual enrollment.
  - c. Vote was unanimous—SPSA was approved by SSC
3. Introduction of Safety Binder—Crystal Grooms
  - a. Crystal Grooms shared the new format for the Safety Binder, which will also include data and be posted on the school website. SSC will be the official committee to view and approve the final safety plan for the school, but we are looking for volunteers to be a part of the safety team this year. All interested parties can contact Crystal Grooms to get involved. The Safety Plan will be ready to review in October and will be sent out to SSC members for input at that time.

4. Proposed Meeting Dates for 2022-2023:
  - a. Safety Binder Input & Approval in October 2022
  - b. Email correspondence in January 2023
  - c. Final meeting in March 2023

Meeting was adjourned at 4:07 PM